


Caribbean Early Warning System Workshop

14-16 April 2016,
Barbados



WORKSHOP EVALUATION FORM

Please rate your level of agreement to each of the affirmations here below with an X.

NR = No Response 1 = Poor 2 = Acceptable
3 = Good 4 = Very good 5 = Excellent

	Indicators	NR	1	2	3	4	5	Aver-age
Planning and duration of the event	1 Invitations and request for your participation in this event was done in a timely and appropriate way	2	1	4	6	12	10	3.8
	2 The duration of this event was appropriate			2	7	19	7	3.9
	3 The schedule supported proper development of this event	1		2	13	10	9	3.8
Achievement of objectives and proposed content	4 The stated objectives for this event were met							
	4a Shared understanding of the status of EWS in the Caribbean and requirements of key partners to successfully implement EWS in the Caribbean.			2	9	15	9	3.9
	4b Increased awareness and capacity among regional and national partners for the successful implementation of EWS.			2	11	15	7	3.8
	4c Identification of specific actions that can be considered for integrating vulnerable groups into EWS.			1	12	16	6	3.8
	4d Partners/country representatives have a deeper understanding of the requirements (technical, human resources) needed to operate and maintain CAP Based EWS.	1		4	10	16	4	3.6
	4e Technical capacity of CAP Based EWS increased in the Caribbean.	3		8	14	6	4	3.2
	4f Standardised approach to EWS SOPs agreed.	7	5	7	10	6		2.6
Facilitation	5 The explanations provided by the facilitators were clear and comprehensible			1	8	17	9	4.0
	6 Facilitators generated an environment conducive to active participation				4	16	15	4.3
	7 Coordination among facilitators was adequate	2			7	16	10	4.1
	8 Adequate time was devoted to each of the different issues and to questions and answers		2	6	8	14	5	3.4
Methodology	9 Efforts were made to keep within the proposed timetable of the agenda	2		2	3	15	13	4.2
	10 Activities were carried out that permitted meeting the event objectives in terms of group work and active involvement	3		2	7	15	8	3.9

	11	The methodology used was in keeping with the objectives set out	3	1		7	15	9	4.0
	12	Materials were distributed appropriately	4	1	6	10	10	4	3.3
Level of applicability	13	The issues addressed were in keeping with the reality being faced	3		2	4	15	11	4.1
Overall perception of the event	14	This event met with my expectations and needs	2		4	9	12	8	3.7
	15	This event was beneficial for your organization?	3		4	4	12	12	4.0
Logistics and venue/ Logística & Ambiente	16	The conditions of the venue (noise, lighting, temperature)	3			5	12	15	4.3
	17	Cleanliness and orderliness of the hotel facilities	2			1	16	16	4.5
	18	Hotel rooms and services	11			3	9	12	4.4
	19	The working facilities and spaces	3			4	15	13	4.3
	20	The quality of food & beverages and hospitality services	3		1	9	12	10	4.0
		How did you like the following sessions?							
Session 1: EWS in the Caribbean (2000 – 2015): highlights, gaps, lessons learnt and key points	21	In terms of content?	4	1	2	8	14	6	3.7
	22	In terms of methodology?	4	1	1	10	17	2	3.6
Session 2a and 2b: Governance and Insitutional arrangements for EWS in the Caribbean: Regional to local	23	In terms of content?	4	1	2	6	13	9	3.9
	24	In terms of methodology?	5	1	1	5	17	6	3.9
Session 4a and 4b: Harmonization of EWS towards Multi-Hazard Application	25	In terms of content?	2		6	3	14	10	3.8
	26	In terms of methodology?	2		4	4	18	7	3.8
Session 5: Common Alerting Protocol (CAP) based EWS	27	In terms of content?	3		4	5	14	9	3.9
	28	In terms of methodology?	3		4	7	16	5	3.7
Session 6: Integrating vulnerable persons/groups into DRR through EWS	29	In terms of content?	3		2	5	11	14	4.2
	30	In terms of methodology?	3		2	4	14	12	4.1
COMMENTS / COMENTARIOS									

Additional comments, suggestions and/or observations

Content

The many and various presentations provided food for thought.

Topic addressed was very wider - too wide. More emphasis should have been given to:

Engagement of governments, about messages, protocols, experience sharing, budget and financing dedicated to EWS.

More information on gender approach and its inclusion in the discussion.

Session summary were too long. Suggestion to highlight only main points.

Methodology

Interactive and sharing

Inclusion of vulnerable groups is recommendable and should be engaged throughout the workshop

Invite all relevant stakeholders, e.g. WMO, PAHO, ICT, Telecoms, ITU, Telecom Association, Private Sector,

More time for discussion/recommendations

Request for shorter presentation and films VS request for more time for presentations

More time for country experience sharing (e.g. Haiti and Pacific experience)

Space for interactive discussion between participants on various issues

Questions after workshop

What is the process of harmonization?

How will/should the process be kick started at regional, national, local level?