

A Working Definition of Governance

GOVERNANCE:

- The process of decision-making
- The process by which decisions are implemented (or not implemented)

- UNESCAP 2009

Why Consider Governance in Disaster Recovery

1. Post-disaster recovery phase **requires intense decision-making**
2. Large influx of **resources need to be coordinated, allocated, and tracked**
3. Pre-existing governance structures are often overwhelmed by the **demands of managing recovery**
4. **Urgency to show progress**, particularly in adversely impacted areas



Key Issue 1: OWNERSHIP

- Option 1: Assert Country Ownership
- Option 2: Identify Role for Local Governments

Key Issue 2: PARTICIPATION

- Option 1: Manage Haste, Engaging Communities
- Option 2: Put Participation into Practice
- Option 3: Develop Participation Strategy



Key Issue 3: COMMUNICATION

- Option 1: Use Communication for Better Governance
- Option 2: Improve Access to Information for Better Coordination

Key Issue 4: CAPACITY

- Option 1: Plan Before a Disaster Happens
- Option 2: Increase Capacity through Partnership
- Option 3: Institutional Capacity to Manage Recovery
- Option 4: Capacity Building Programs

Key Issue 5: ACCOUNTABILITY

- Option 1: Clarify Roles and Responsibilities
- Option 2: Account for Actions Taken





OWNERSHIP

Case 1: Inadequate Leadership, HONDURAS

Background

- ❑ 1998 Hurricane Mitch
- ❑ Massive damage: about USD4 Billion

What is Problematic? The government did not seem to own recovery efforts

Process

1. "All aid is welcome" policy adopted
2. No clear criteria to determine who was affected ; Coherent nationwide strategies and programs could not be established
3. The public did not receive regular, clear, and unequivocal information on their entitlements to assistance and how to access support
4. Coordination was limited to bilateral donors
5. State was unprepared in terms of policy, systems, and resources for recovery

Lessons

- ❑ Compare with other experiences to draw lessons

A Tool for Building Back better



OWNERSHIP

Case 2: Recovery leadership, MOZAMBIQUE

Background

- ❑ 2000 & 2001 Floods

What is Unique? Systems put in place through build back better approach

Process

- ❑ National reconstruction and development policies linked with recovery
- ❑ Coordination systems put in place: appraising, monitoring, funding
- ❑ Partnership of stakeholders
- ❑ Local governments empowered

Lessons

- ❑ Build Back Better: systems
- ❑ Country ownership
- ❑ Partnership and collaboration
- ❑ Lack of leadership can lead to chaos



OWNERSHIP

Case 3: Manifesting Ownership through BRR

Background

- ❑ Tsunami affected Aceh
- ❑ Local governments: poor planning, low-capacity
- ❑ Gaps: legislative framework and discharging functions

What is Unique? BRR established to coordinate recovery efforts

Process

- ❑ BAPPENAS formulated Master Plan
- ❑ BRR Headquarters in Aceh: coordination & implementation
- ❑ BRR developed the capacity of local governments

Lessons

- ❑ Physical presence of BRR in Aceh
- ❑ Progressive building of capacity



OWNERSHIP



II

PARTICIPATION

Case 4: Gotong Royong in reconstruction, Yogyakarta

Background

- ❑ 2006 Earthquake
- ❑ 127,000 completely destroyed; 450,000 damaged

What is Unique? Immediate start of housing reconstruction through “mutual help” and government capitalized on this tradition value

Process

- ❑ Elected village leader help identify beneficiaries
- ❑ Beneficiaries divided into groups (10-15 families)
- ❑ Group develops plans, and received fund through collective bank account
- ❑ Government established a Housing Reconstruction Task Force to assist groups

Lessons

- ❑ Designing recovery initiatives aligned with traditional value of mutual-help
- ❑ Community driven approach – help builds social capital



Case 6: Government supported community driven recovery , PAKISTAN

What is Unique? Distribution of funds based on Community Livelihood Rehabilitation Plans

1. ERRA developed a community-driven livelihood recovery, with Community Investment **Funds** (CIFs) as key component
2. Distribution of fund is determined on the basis of **Community Livelihoods Rehabilitation Plans** (CLRPs)
3. Community Based Organizations (CBOs) design and carry out CLRPs
4. Appropriate line agencies, local government, and NGOs **provide support** to CBOs
5. Livelihood Working Committees (LWCs) at every district and Livelihood Coordination Units (LCUs) are also established within national, state, and provincial levels (i.e. ERRA, SERRA, and PERRA)

Lessons

- **Large-scale bottom-up** approach can be more effective with **significant top-down support**
- Pitfalls are avoided by **learning from prior experiences** and adapting appropriate ideas
- Community-driven approaches require commitment and trust



Case 7: Partners facilitating participation

❑ In **Bangladesh**, the **government partnered with a local NGO called BRAC** to facilitate community-led livelihood recovery. BRAC had established **long standing relationships** with local communities since the 1970's through a wide range of services (including micro-finance, education, health and others).

❑ Following the **Gujarat** earthquake of 2001, the Government of India partnered with **SEWA**, the Self Employed Women's Association (SEWA) to implement a seven-year **community-driven livelihood security project** for rural households. SEWA, a trade union providing services to women working in the informal sector, was chosen because of its presence in the project area, its reputation for community capacity building and its widespread membership base in the form of women's federations or self help groups

❑ In the capital city of **Nicaragua**, an initiative to **upgrade and protect public infrastructure from flood damage**, collaborated with the **Sandinista Defense Committees** - neighborhood groups formed during the **Nicaragua Revolution**. Because of their structure, motivation, and the cohesion of their members, they proved an extremely effective instrument for reaching and involving the local population.

❑ After a series of typhoons hit the **Philippines**, the department of education developed a program to **rebuild schools** to disaster resistant standards wherein **principal** or school heads, **along with Parent Teacher & Community Associations**, took charge of the implementation and management of the reconstruction.





COMMUNICATION



Case 9: Impacts of one-way communication on project

Mozambique

•The subsequent floods of 2001 affected an additional 500,000 people, of which 223,000 were displaced. In total, over 40,000 families were resettled to less flood-prone areas. Due to a **lack of consultation**, and a resulting sense of helplessness and dependency, the **resettlement created significant hardship** for individuals now forced to reinvent new livelihoods or migrate long distances to their farmlands or to distant cities for work. This also **disrupted social and family dynamics**, particularly when men were forced to leave their families throughout the week to earn a living. **Many families simply refused to leave their lands, and rebuilt their homes within the floodplains.**

The Maldives

•There was an unprecedented **investment by aid agencies in infrastructure** (non-existent prior to the tsunami). However, the Tsunami Evaluation Coalition found that in most cases, these facilities were **lying abandoned and unused** – the fish markets were intended to be run by fisheries cooperatives in a context where **cooperatives have historically not existed**, while the construction of the **waste management facilities** was not accompanied by any awareness-raising campaigns on hygiene and civic responsibility, or the potential economic benefits of waste recycling



Case 10: Frustrations of inadequate information sharing

Solomon Islands

□“Awareness about **international aid** should be shared equally among the rural populace. For example, we hear about funds for a cattle project only after all the funds have been used.” *Education officer, Auki, Malaita*

□“NGOs and government made **too many promises** which did not eventuate. A lot of interviews were done in communities, but nothing forthcoming. We were given high hopes that assistance will be coming. Days, months, years passed by, still no green light. No moa trust lo olketa nao [We don't trust them anymore now].” *Women leader in Visale, West Guadalcanal*

Aceh, Indonesia

□A large number of people expressed their dismay that they **did not have enough information about aid and aid processes**. “I do not want to blame anyone; I just want information,” said one man as he commented on problems with aid distributions. Another said, “If people are getting different aid, they need to know why.” Others said: “If we understand, then we can be patient.”

□“They gave our village **ten boats**. But why ten boats? It just seemed arbitrary.” “I do not know the system of aid, and cannot read and write, so I cannot get help.” “The process of receiving aid is not clear to the beneficiaries.”

□Because people do not feel informed, they often cited **rumors** that they had heard. “We heard this, but we are not sure.”



Case 11: Information management in Tamil Nadu

What is Unique? Establishment of resource center for coordination and management.

1. Two NGOs initiated the “**NGO Coordination and Resource Centre**” (NCRC) after Tsunami
2. Tamil Nadu **State Government** partnered with NCRC
3. NCRC organizational structure: front office, **village information center**, and sectoral teams

Lessons

- **Social audits of recovery activities** could be effectively done by a separate, non-implementing organization like NCRC
- Village level information centers help **sustain presence** to affected communities
- NCRC, now BEDROC, presence helps communities become more resilient to the effects of climate change and other natural hazards
- NCRC's transition to BEDROC ensured that **valuable lessons learned** during recovery phase **are not lost**



Case 12: Communicating strategy of seismic resistant housing initiative in Pakistan

What is Unique? Communication strategy to meet information needs

1. ERRA developed a **communication strategy**, which identified the audience, the type of information, and the purpose of communication.
2. **For each stakeholder group**, both the content and medium of communication had to be **contextually appropriate: artisans, engineers, public, donors**
3. Feedback from initial activities led to further **updates to the strategy**, and several key changes were made.
4. New communication challenges arose as engineers balked at using a technique with little scientific backing to confirm the seismic resistant properties.

Lessons

- **Building back better** typically requires a change of behavior and practice based on **new knowledge**. When such information, especially of a technical nature, is not communicated **in a familiar and meaningful way** to intended recipients, the desired changes are unachievable.
- A good communication **strategy is flexible with feedback mechanisms** to identify changing conditions and corresponding communication needs of stakeholders.
- The case illustrates the necessity for **two-way communication** to account for critical social and economic factors as well as other potential hazards facing a population. Identifying and negotiating such factors, helps to develop **more sustainable** and risk-reducing solutions.

COMMUNICATING



Case 14: RAN System, INDONESIA

What is Unique? Coordinating all reconstruction projects through online systems database

1. **Recovery of Aceh and Nias (RAN) Database**, a relatively **low - tech, robust ICT system** was designed.
2. Data entry was initiated through a **project concept note (PCN)**, which each implementing partner was obligated to submit for approval before initiating a recovery project.
3. The RAN Database system **automatically captured all data as supplied by PCNs**.

Lessons

- For this type of information-sharing mechanism to be effective, **implementing agencies** must enter the necessary **data** in a timely manner.
- Consideration should be given to the information technology infrastructure required to support online tools such as this. There have been many cases, including Aceh, in which local and district governments were unable to access the database due to **poor or non-existent internet connectivity**.

COMMUNICATING



IV

CAPACITY



Case 15: 2000 flood lessons improved 2001 flood management

What is Unique? Coordination systems put in place during recovery

1. The government of Mozambique made three successive appeals in 2000 for response to floods.
2. In 2001, the government declared a flood emergency and appealed to the international community for US\$30 million in emergency assistance. By mid May 2001, 93 percent of the appeal had been met.
3. Agencies were **better prepared** to respond to the 2001 floods because the **systems and contacts established in 2000 were in place**.
4. The government, the UN system, and the major agencies, such as the Mozambique Red Cross, had all **undertaken lessons learning exercises** and developed contingency plans, which resulted in significant improvements in responses.

Lesson seizing opportunity during recovery phase to improve capacity

CAPACITY



Case 16: Pre-Disaster Recovery Planning, Los Angeles

Background

- ❑ Lessons from previous earthquakes (e.g. Loma Prieta)
- ❑ PEPPER : study as theoretical foundation for planning
- ❑ Scenarios

What is Unique? Recovery plan puts in place even before a disaster happens

Process

- ❑ Research studies; lessons from previous earthquakes
- ❑ Policies & Procedures: protocols, guidelines
- ❑ Coordination mechanisms: recovery support functions
- ❑ Funding: pre-arranged agreements for supplies & resources

Lessons

- ❑ Pre-planning is advantageous, especially for recurring disasters
- ❑ Maintaining continuity & improvement over time



CAPACITY

Case 17: University's role in recovery, YOGYAKARTA

Background

- ❑ 2006 Yogyakarta earthquake
- ❑ Issues: technical knowledge and resources

What is Unique? University provided technical assistance in community-driven reconstruction initiative

Process

- ❑ University facilitated a system to ensure quality: technical support & training
- ❑ POSYANIS: a department unit extending full support (faculty, staff, & students)
- ❑ Developed simple "technical guidelines"
- ❑ Mobile housing clinics
- ❑ Coordination and Accreditation at District Public Works

Lessons

- ❑ UGM learned lessons from Aceh experience
- ❑ Scenario setting & galvanize real champions



CAPACITY

Case 19: Local government capacity building initiative, Peru

Background

- ❑ 2007 earthquake
- ❑ No considerations of local government roles (side-tracked)

What is Unique? Provision of full time UNDP staff at local government for 2 years

Process

- ❑ UNDP deployed full time staff for 2 years
- ❑ Function of coordination centers transferred to local governments
- ❑ Local governments developed recovery plans with TA from UNDP

Lessons

- ❑ Local government capacity & leadership enhanced
- ❑ Local government identify local priorities
- ❑ Recovery planning as part of development planning



CAPACITY



V

ACCOUNTABILITY



Case 20: Unclear roles impede recovery, Maldives

Background

- ❑ 2004 Tsunami
- ❑ Highly centralized management
- ❑ Role of traditional Island Chiefs neglected

What is Problematic? Functional roles of island chiefs not clearly defined

Process

- ❑ New National Recovery Committee fail to clarify roles of island chiefs
- ❑ Traditional roles: planning, implementation, management
- ❑ While island chiefs named focal point, there is no guidelines of their recovery functions

Lessons

- ❑ Lack of formal planning role resulting to confusion
- ❑ Coordination: not well-facilitated
- ❑ Difficulty in identifying accountability



ACCOUNTABILITY

Case 22: Financial tracking system, Haiti

Background

- ❑ 2010 Earthquake
- ❑ USD9 Billion pledges

What is Unique? Introduction of financial tracking system called “The Haitian Platform for Public Investment”

Process

- ❑ Database online: track pledge, hold donors to pledge, transparency, and accountability
- ❑ Tapped experienced developers of financial tracking system

Lessons

- ❑ Learning's from past experiences modified systems to fit context
- ❑ Analysis linked to PDNA (knowledge on financial gaps)
- ❑ Crucial for decision makers and ensure accountability



ACCOUNTABILITY

THANK YOU!

