



Institutional Arrangement, Harmonization for Early Warning Systems

Donna Pierre

Disaster Risk Management Specialist, CDEMA

Prepared for Caribbean Early Warning System Workshop

Friday, 15 April, 2016

Marriott Hotel

Christ Church, Barbados



CHECKPOINT

Why and what about harmonization are we discussing?

Checkpoint – Key Questions?

- What are we harmonizing? Individual components of the EWS; Systems, Processes
- What is the appropriate policy framework for harmonization of the EWS?
- Should we examine Roles & Responsibilities closer?
 - Who leads the coordination of the governance and institutional arrangements?
 - How do we sustain the governance arrangements? Who finances?
 - What does a successful governance arrangement look like?
 - Who monitors, who coordinates response?



HARMONIZATION

Support for Harmonization

Harmonization to impact & support EWS

- ❖ We encourage harmonization as:-
 - There exists multiple players, different skills sets, different standards
 - There is a need to integrate community, national and regional level efforts as together they reflect the comprehensive system
 - **Effective collaboration & cooperation can save time, money and produce the best results**
 - There exists limited resources versus numerous needs



REGIONAL EFFORTS TO SUPPORT HARMONIZATION OF EWS

Next Steps – For greater harmonization

EWS COMPONENTS

REGIONAL EFFORTS SUPPORTING EWS HARMONIZATION

Knowledge of the risks

Regional Technical Working Group;
Strategic Targeting Methodology

**Monitoring, analysis &
forecasting of hazards**

CIMH Global Framework for Climate
Services (CariCof)

**Communication/disseminat
ion of alerts & warning**

Model Legislation (provides language for
alerts & warnings)

**Local capabilities to
respond**

Regional Coordination Mechanism viz a
viz the Regional Coordination Plan,
SOPs,



QUESTIONS
THANK YOU