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Disaster Risk Reduction Terminology

The terminology used for the preparation of this document is UNISDR’s terminology and definitions and/or terminology established in the national legal framework. The aim is to promote understanding and use of common DRR concepts, as well as support DRR efforts undertaken by the government, experts and the public. A Glossary comprising the definitions for the terms used in this publication is provided in the Annex.

A Disaster is:

A serious disruption of the functioning of a community or a society, causing widespread human, material, economic and environmental losses which exceed the ability of the affected community or society to cope using its own resources.

Source: ISDR

Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR):
The conceptual framework of elements considered with the possibilities to minimize vulnerabilities and disaster risks throughout a society, to avoid (prevention) or to limit (mitigation and preparedness) the adverse impacts of hazards, within the broad context of sustainable development.

Source: ISDR

Disaster = Hazard Exposure x Vulnerability

Disaster Risk Reduction = Reduced hazard exposure and lessened vulnerability
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1. SUMMARY FOR POLICY MAKERS

Chapter 2: Introduction

Background

The Country Profile for Disaster Risk Reduction for Saint Lucia (SLU CP-DRR) was developed through financial support under the United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Risk Reduction, Disaster Preparedness and Prevention – European Commission for Humanitarian Aid (UNISDR-DIPECHO) Project.

In undertaking this compilation, the outputs of previous documents related to hazard risk management and resilience building such as National Emergency Management Plan and the myriad of associated hazard, sector, etc. plans, including the National Hazard and Mitigation Policy and Plan (NHMP) and The Disaster Management Policy Framework; the Strategic Plan for Climate Resilience (SPCR), Second National Communication (SNC) to UNFCCC, Mauritius Strategy (MSI), CDM Audit, HFA Progress reports, among others, were considered against the backdrop of current and emerging development challenges and the impact of various hazards on key sectors of the economy.

“A nation highly resilient to hazard impacts and adaptable to hazard risks”

Purpose of Document

The key objective of The CP-DRR is to provide a comprehensive overview of the status of DRR in the country, the progress made in reducing risk, the definition of priorities and strategies, the major challenges faced in reducing the loss of lives, as well as the economic, social and environmental impacts risks generate. It is envisioned that the CP-DRR will be useful as an important national reference document to guide the design of policies and strategies, promote decision making, and the formulation of DRR actions and activities, for bringing about sustainable development, as well as facilitate the establishment of channels for mutual help and cooperation.

The main elements of the CP-DRR derived from the key outputs of the process are highlighted in Box 1.
Conceptual Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR)

Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) is the conceptual framework of elements considered with the possibilities to minimize vulnerabilities and disaster risks throughout a society, to avoid (prevention) or to limit (mitigation and preparedness) the adverse impacts of hazards, within the broad context of sustainable development.

The key elements of DRR are:
- **Risk awareness and assessment** including hazard analysis and vulnerability/capacity analysis;
- **Knowledge development** including education, training, research and information;
- **Public commitment and institutional frameworks**, including organizational, policy, legislation and community action;
- **Application of measures** including environmental management, land-use and urban planning, protection of critical facilities, application of science and technology, partnership and networking, and financial instruments;
- **Early warning systems** including forecasting, dissemination of warnings, preparedness measures and reaction capacities

Box 1: Main Components of CP-DRR

- International and regional context for DRR;
- Identification of national circumstances that impact DRR;
- Compilation of disaster inventory;
- Risk identification and analysis - integration of vulnerability and adaptation assessments;
- State of disaster risk in the country;
- Priorities for DRR in country; and
- Strategic directions for DRR.

Chapter 3: Methodology

The process of preparing the Country Document was inclusive and participatory, and coordinated under the leadership of the entity with responsibility for coordination of the national disaster risk management (DRM) system, the National Emergency Management Organisation (NEMO). The process involved the collaboration of all the DRR actors, including national authorities responsible for DRR implementation in the country, key stakeholders

\[1\] ISDR
working on DRR in all relevant sectors (such as health, education, planning, housing and development, economic affairs and finance, etc.), technical and scientific institutions, the private sector, civil society, international cooperation agencies, DIPECHO partners, and members of existing DRR platforms, among others.

The process was facilitated mainly through the modalities of desk research, work meetings including focus group discussions and one-on-one interviews and national workshops involving the participation of all the actors.

The phases in the process included the joint elaboration of a Draft CP-DRR based on a standard format and guidelines developed by the ISDR, the presentation of the Draft document at a national workshop for validation of DRR priorities, and circulation of a Draft Final CP-DRR for final approval by the NEMAC, followed by approval from the Cabinet of Ministers.

Assumptions and limitations of the document were largely related to the availability, practicality of formats and quality of data and information.

Chapter 4: International, Regional and National Context for Disaster Risk Reduction

The international context for DRR is enshrined in the DRR global strategies and platforms such as the Yokohama Strategy and Plan of Action for a Safer World, The Hyogo Framework for Action (HFA) 2005–2015: Building the Resilience of Nations and Communities to Disasters, among others. The goal of the HFA is “the substantial reduction of disaster losses, in lives as well as the social, economic and environmental assets of communities and countries” and is the reference framework for DRR public policy.

The international and regional context for DRR is also anchored in global agreements such as the Johannesburg Declaration, the Mauritius Strategy for Implementation (MSI) of Agenda 21 and the Millennium Declaration and the Millennium Development Goals which are driving development aid and development agendas worldwide. Global Climate Change (GCC) has also been recognised as a major threat to the sustainable development of Caribbean countries and Saint Lucia is signatory to the United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change (UNFCCC).

At the regional level, Saint Lucia is also committed to the implementation of the Barbados Programme of Action (BPoA). The St George’s Declaration of Principles of Environmental Sustainability (SGD) in the OECS, 1979, embodies the commitment of governments of the Eastern Caribbean to “environmentally sustainable development as essential for the creation of jobs, a stable society, and a healthy economy”.

---

2 http://www.unisdr.org/hfa
3 The BPoA sets forth specific actions and measures to be taken at the national, regional and international levels to support the sustainable development of Small Island Developing States (SIDS).
The Caribbean Community (CARICOM) adopted in 2001 a Strategy and Results Framework for Comprehensive Disaster Management (CDM) in the region, led by CDEMA. The revised and enhanced Framework (2007-2012) places stronger emphasis on disaster loss reduction through risk management. The Regional Framework for Achieving Development Resilient to Climate Change (2009-2014) also establishes the direction for the continued building of resilience to the impacts of GCC by CARICOM states.

The National Environmental Policy (NEP) is the key mechanism for implementation of the (SGD) at the national level. The National Environmental Management Strategy (NEMS) places the focus on hazard risk management as a key element and embodies all other related policies, plans, programmes and strategies, including those developed under international and regional agreements.

Chapter 5: National Circumstances

Saint Lucia is a Small Island Developing State (SIDS) located at latitude 13° 59' N, and 61° within the Lesser Antillean Arc of the Caribbean Archipelago, and situated on a volcanic The island covers a land area of 616 km², characterised by steep, rugged landscapes with deep valleys and fast flowing rivers.

The island experiences a tropical maritime climate with two climatic seasons, a wet season (June to November) and dry season (December to May). Tropical disturbances (waves, depressions, storms, hurricanes) account for the greater amount of the recorded rainfall during the rainy season. As is the case for Caribbean SIDS, Global Climate Change (GCC) is considered one of the most serious threats to the sustainable development of Saint Lucia and the projected impacts are expected to be devastating. The onset of the climate change phenomenon thus imposes new hazards on Saint Lucia and exacerbates existing ones.

The combination of the steep topography and young volcanic soils, constantly subjected to seasonal high rainfall, make the island very susceptible to soil erosion. Vulnerability to disasters such as landslides is further exacerbated by the poor land management practices.

The estimated population for Saint Lucia as at the 2010 Census stood at 166,526, with 24.1 percent of the population under 14 years and 33.3 percent between 14 and 34 years. Large segments of the island’s population are located along the coastal belt, where low land agriculture, coastal resources, reefs, fisheries and tourism are the main sources of livelihood. This narrow coastal strip which circumscribes the island is characterised by concentrations of haphazard and unplanned human settlement and other development, posing a growing threat to the sustainability of the fragile terrestrial, coastal and marine ecosystems. The rapid urbanisation

---

of the former rural areas of the island, manifested in approximately 60 percent of the population residing along the north-west corridor, has resulted in denser populations living in unplanned or informal settlements. This in turn has led to increase risk with regard to natural and human induced disasters.

The country’s economic fundamentals have remained solid, but at the global level, there are a number of externalities that have impacted and continue to impact the island’s economy, such as changing trade regimes, rising fuel prices and the international financial crisis. The economy has consequently undergone significant adjustment from agrarian-based to service-based, with the services sector, and in particular tourism, leading economic growth. Manufacturing and industry have remained as important productive sectors, with the construction sector also contributing immensely to GDP and employment. For the foreseeable future, Saint Lucia’s economic growth and development will continue to be centered around tourism, agriculture, infrastructural development and commercial sectors, with tourism being at the core of the development thrust.

Country data for 2011, show good social indicators, including low levels of maternal and infant mortality, universal primary and secondary education, low fertility, and increasing life expectancy. However, these exist alongside high and increasing levels of poverty – 25.1 percent in 1995 and 28.8 percent in 2005/06, one of the considerable social gaps and deficiencies, primarily in rural areas, and linked to high unemployment and underemployment rates.

A positive correlation has been demonstrated with increasing incidence of poverty and increased vulnerability to impacts of disasters, in particular rainfall changes (floods and drought) and climate change impacts of sea level rise and storm surge. The HFA governance structure also recognises that issues such as increased population densities, environmental degradation, and global climate change adding to poverty make the impacts of natural hazards worse.

Saint Lucia is an independent country which has a multi-party structure, dominated by two political parties, with elections constitutionally due every five (5) years. National government comprises a bicameral parliament made up of 11 members of the Senate or Upper House and the House of Assembly comprising 17 district representatives. Eleven (11) districts positioned below the central government, comprising the council of the capital city of Castries, three town and six village councils, form the main local government institutions in the community/township. New

---

5 The Poverty Assessment (2005/06) identified the ripple effect of decline in banana earnings into other areas of the economy, spreading poverty beyond the agricultural sector and contributing to increased poverty and vulnerability in rural communities.
appointments of members to the various district (city/town/village) councils were made following national elections in 2011.

Saint Lucia’s development agenda is guided by a number of national policy imperatives and instruments, in particular the country’s Medium Term Development Strategy (MTDS). The international and regional agreements and DRR strategies and platforms described in the Section 3 on the DRR Context, also drive the development agenda. The development priorities described in the MTDS for the period 2006-2011 are aimed at halting any further decline in the agricultural sector, and encourage agriculture and wider economic diversification; provision of an enabling environment to foster increasing returns to the local economy through effective linkages; encourage education and human resource development; support the emerging informatics industry and financial services sector; and also encourage the adoption of appropriate technology as a means of achieving greater efficiency.6

The development priorities of Saint Lucia provide opportunities for widening the scope of socio-economic and environmental sustainability to include considerations for disaster vulnerability and DRR. While the country’s vulnerability to disasters is projected to be exacerbated by climate change, the future vulnerability of Saint Lucia will also depend on its development path. In this context mainstreaming of DRR and climate change adaptation (CCA) that is, climate smart DRR, into development policies, plans and programmes now becomes a pre-condition for sustainable development.

Chapter 6: DRR Legal, Regulatory and Institutional Framework

The policy, legal, regulatory and institutional framework governing DRR is quite varied and mixed, reflecting a highly evolved governance framework, though with a strong orientation to disaster preparedness, response and recovery, and lesser emphasis on disaster planning, prevention and mitigation.

The legal and regulatory framework for DRR is underpinned by the Saint Lucia Constitution (Order 1978)7 and the Emergency Powers (Disaster) Act of 19958. The CDERA model legislation, assented to by Saint Lucia on August 7, 2000, provided the guide for the development of the Disaster Management Act9 (DMA) enacted in 2006, which has subsequently formed the foundation of the legislative framework for disaster management in the country. The remit of the Disaster Management Act, also covers provisions for the application of treaties and the plethora of multi-lateral and international agreements. Disaster management considerations are also

---

6 GOSL Socio-Economic Review, 2011
7 http://www.preventionweb.net/files/8454_constitution.pdf [PDF 71.06 KB]
8 http://www.preventionweb.net/files/8454_5of95.pdf [PDF 142.25 KB]
reflected in some of the legislative instruments in sectors such as health and education, and cross cutting sectors such as water, national security, however, in most cases driven by international obligations.

With the exception of the Hazard Mitigation Policy, all of the eight (8) DRR related policies identified at the national level have a strong focus on disaster preparedness and response, with limited reference to the planning, mitigation and prevention components of DRR. The Hazard Mitigation Policy is anchored in the concept sustainable development and demonstrates a commitment to a broader and more long-term development process. Emphasis is placed on developing communities, building institutions and capabilities to reduce vulnerability. There are also a number of other public and sectoral policies which implicitly address the concepts of DRM/ DRR, key of which are the National Environmental Policy and Strategy (NEP/NEMs), National Water Policy, National Land Policy, and the Climate Change Policy and Adaptation Plan.

The regulatory framework for DRM is effected through the NEMP, which finds authority in the DMA and national CDM Strategy. Also of importance are some key related regulations such as the draft Physical Planning Regulations under the Physical Planning and Development Act; national building codes/standards, and draft Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, which all have significant implications for DRR within the context of development planning. Worthy of note also are emerging trends in international and regional standards and regulations for operations within certain sectors such as agriculture, tourism, education and health, which have a stipulated requirements for disaster risk management.

The institutional framework for national disaster management in Saint Lucia comprises the National Emergency Management Organisation (NEMO), supported by a network of volunteers comprising ten (10) National Disaster Committees and eighteen (18) District Disaster Management Committees, operating under the jurisdiction of the NEMO, and involved in the planning and response against disasters. The NEMO organizational structure also allows for the involvement of the national Community Development Officers to act as Ex-Officio members of the District Disaster Management Committees. The Local Government Councils are also integral to the functioning of the District Committees.

A legal, regulatory, and institutional framework, infused with a culture of accountability, will be required for effective governance and service delivery with regard to DRR. A key accountability measure to communities is the extent to which a government is able to address the risk of poorly planned and managed urbanization, environmental degradation, and poverty. Access to information, particularly information on disaster risks, will also generate a social demand for disaster risk management. Adequate national legislation will also be needed to promote the

---

10 The Impact of Climate Change on Design Wind Speeds [Cabinet Approved 1151/2009]
Engineering Guidelines for Incorporating Climate Change into the Determination of Wind Forces [Cabinet Approved 1151/2009]
introduction of, and monitor compliance with, monitoring and accountability mechanisms. This will serve to improve policy coherence, enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of resources, minimize duplication and contradictory policies, deal with tradeoffs, and reduce the sensitivity of development activities to current and future climate related and other disasters.\footnote{Urwin, K and A. Jordan, “Does public policy support or undermine climate change adaptation? Exploring policy interplay across different scales of governance”, 18(1) Global Environmental Change-Human and Policy Dimensions (2008), 180.} Further, the need for proper parliamentary oversight and national auditing systems cannot be underscored.

Chapter 7: State of Disaster Risk in Saint Lucia

Conditions of risk for Saint Lucia have been identified in several documents emanating from reviews and assessments including, the National Hazard Mitigation Policy, the Disaster Management Policy Framework, the Risk Management/ Vulnerability Benchmarking Tool (B-Tool) Report for Saint Lucia\footnote{USAID/OECS. 2007. Risk Management/ Vulnerability Benchmarking Tool (BTool), National Assessment: Saint Lucia.}, the CEDEMA DM Audit Country Report for Saint Lucia (2010).

The Saint Lucia Disaster Catalogue provides a formal historical compilation on disasters, categorizing the history making events based on time period, and by type of disaster event. The catalogue makes provision for the inclusion of data and information on: date of the event, brief description of the event, number of persons killed, number left homeless, costs and comments, however, not all the information for the parameters within the database is complete, and in particular data on costs. First produced in 1999\footnote{A. L. Dawn French, 1999. Disaster Catalogue for Saint Lucia.}, the catalogue requires regular updating as events occur.

Hazards/Threats

The catalogue listing of disaster events, and the aforementioned assessments all corroborate that Saint Lucia, by virtue of its geographic location, physical formation and fragile ecosystems, is highly exposed to a number of natural and anthropogenic/human-made hazards, which have the potential to cause loss of lives, severe damage to infrastructure and other economic assets, as well as cause adverse effects on livelihoods. The types of hazards/threats are identified in Table 1.

Table 1. Types of Hazards/Threats Identified for Saint Lucia

| Natural | Geological hazards: earthquakes, volcanic activity and emissions, and seismic related geophysical processes, such as mass movements, landslides, rockslides and surface collapses, debris or mud slides, and tsunamis. |

Hydrometeorological factors are important contributors to some of these processes.  

- **Hydrometeorological hazards:** include tropical cyclones (also known as hurricanes), thunderstorms, coastal storm surges, floods including flash floods, drought, heatwaves and cold spells, El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and La Niña.

### Anthropogenic/Human-made

- **Socio-natural hazards:** High population density in urban areas with inadequate basic-service provision (water, electricity, health, education, transportation).
- **Technological hazards:** Pollution, fires, explosions, toxic spills, deforestation, mining and oil/gas extraction in highly sensitive environmental areas, among others.
- Pollution from unexploded arms and munitions.
- Armed conflict, civil unrest and related consequences, especially internal displacement and migrations.
- Mass casualty (air, sea and terrestrial)

### Emergencies affecting Public Health and Safety

- Illnesses and epidemics of major occurrence. Examples include: avian flu, traditional and haemorrhagic, malaria, dengue, cholera, among others.
- Phytosanitary emergencies - pestilence.
- Vector borne diseases such as dengue, and non-endemic illnesses with the onset of climate change

The island is also highly susceptible to the vagaries of international trade, exogenous economic and financial shocks. Safety and security issues are now high on the global and regional agendas and so need to be elevated on the national agenda. Terrorism, biosecurity, food security, and the environmental health and security implication of “open borders” are but some of the concerns.

Historically, hurricanes, storms and flooding have been the most likely hazards to affect Saint Lucia. Flooding has been of major concern particularly in low-
lying areas as well as coastal villages and has resulted in the displacement of people and the destruction of property in communities. Droughts have also affected the quantity and quality of available water supplies to local communities, and also productive sectors of the economy. About eight (8) major land slippages, have also resulted in significant loss of lives (the 1938 Ravine Poisson Landslide) and the destruction of homes, dislocation of approximately 145 families, loss of biodiversity particularly from landslides at La Sorcière, and costs totaling over two million Eastern Caribbean Dollars (EC$2M).

Damage Assessment and Needs Analysis (DANA) Policy (2007) and more recently the use of the UN-ECLAC Damage and Loss Assessments (DaLA) methodologies, bear out the issue of the increasing cost of disaster events, related to loss of lives and property, human injury, destroyed tourism and general infrastructure, crops and livestock, and household and commercial and industrial assets. For example, Tropical Storm Debbie in 1994, resulted in losses over EC$230 million\textsuperscript{14}. The Tropical Wave of October 1996 also incurred an estimated EC$12 million in damages to property and infrastructure particularly in Soufrière, Anse la Raye, Vieux Fort and Castries. Tropical Storm Lili-damage in 2002 was estimated at $20 million and colossal losses of over EC$900 million were reported for Hurricane Tomas in October 2010.

**Vulnerability**

The plethora of documentation on disaster management widely endorse that Saint Lucia’s vulnerability to devastating natural and anthropogenic/human-induced disasters, can be attributed to a dynamic set of risks that emerge from antecedent conditions determined by physical, social, economic, environmental and political factors.

Examples of such factors and processes that increase the susceptibility and exposure of a community, structure, service or geographic area to hazards; including (a) its small geographical area, which accounts for the fact that disasters take country-wide proportions; (b) its location in some of the highest risk areas of the planet, such as mid-ocean ridges with strong volcanic and seismic activity, tropical cyclone belts, and direct exposure to the forces of the oceans; and (c) the fact that it is dependent on few sources of income, in the agricultural sector or in tourism, for a substantial part of its gross national product (GNP). These sources of income have been severely reduced for months by a single catastrophic event.

Criteria and methodologies for analysis of vulnerability have not been clearly defined for specific disaster hazards/risks, except in the vulnerability and adaptation (V&A) assessments for the Second National Communication (2010) on Climate Change for Saint Lucia. The Vulnerability and Capacity Assessments (VCAs) coordinated by the SLRC, involved the use of criteria and methodology outlined in a Community Disaster Response Team (CDRT) field guide, based largely on that for Damage Assessment and Needs Analysis (DANA). The Vulnerability Assessment Benchmarking Tool (B-Tool) developed by the OECS Secretariat, is a quantitative assessment tool.

\textsuperscript{14} Draft Hazard Mitigation Plan, Saint Lucia, 2003.
which evaluates capacities in the six phases of risk management. The B-Tool provides an index as indicator of the relative strength and weakness of DRR initiatives in country. Both the CDEMA Disaster Management (CDM) Audit and the HFA Monitor utilise a more qualitative assessment methodology. Key indicators are however, proposed by the HFA or the HFA Monitor that enable assessment of progress made in DRR in the country.\(^\text{15}\)

Some limited national and local assessments based on hazard data and vulnerability information are available, and include risk assessments for key sectors\(^\text{16}\). However, there are still no systems in place to monitor, archive and disseminate data on key hazards and vulnerabilities and the absence of a comprehensive national multi hazard database / archive with protocols for information sharing impede the use of mechanisms to inform the local and regional DRR effort. Mechanisms for the systemic research, recording and analysis of the hazards which have impacted Saint Lucia and the impacts of these hazards also need to be established. There is also need to generate gender disaggregated disaster impact data at the community level, so that special care is taken to meet the needs of both women and men in a disaster event (e.g. gender sensitive shelter management policy, etc.). Risk mapping of vulnerable groups will also help to profile each of these groups within the context of the vulnerable situations in which they live and to identify their coping and adaptive capacities. The mapping will also help to identify hotspots of high vulnerability in Saint Lucia.

A comparison of the various capacity assessments undertaken to date utilising the qualitative outputs for the various capacity areas/tools reveals severe limitations in the areas of human capacity, technical tasks and contents, financial resources and information management.

Cognisant that DRM programmes are multi-disciplinary and multi-faceted, the country need to have access to capacity development programmes that would enhance institutional and community capacity at all levels (systemic, institutional and individual). In particular, institutional capacity, with regard to a science base of information for validating, monitoring and linking DRR with sustainable development using appropriate indicators of DRR, requires strengthening. This will include equipment/instrumentation and systems for data collection and management systems, to expand the information and knowledge base, in terms of up to date scientific information, as well as local knowledge and expertise for DRR.

\(^{15}\) http://www.preventionweb.net/english/hyogo/hfa-monitoring/national/?pid:73&pih:2

\(^{16}\) The V&A assessments conducted for the SNC highlighted the vulnerabilities of several critical sectors including; water resources; land resources; agriculture; coastal sector; marine resources; forest terrestrial resources; health; financial services; and critical infrastructure.
Chapter 8: Risk Assessment for Country DRR

Defining criteria for disaster risk (DR) analysis/management is for the most part difficult, due to the lack of a comprehensive conceptual framework of disaster risk that facilitates DR evaluation and intervention from a multidisciplinary perspective.

The country in keeping with the Disaster Preparedness and Response Act No. 13 of 2000 has developed a National Risk Register. There is also a Saint Lucia National Climate Change Risk Register, dated October 28, 2008. Hazard/risk mapping is available for some of the phenomena including landslide, drought, and floods.

Hydro-meteorological hazards and health emergencies were given high risk ratings. Geodynamic/Geological and socio-natural hazards were rated between medium to low risk, with the exception of deforestation, which was rated a high risk hazard. Technological hazards were deemed to be medium risks, but terrorism was unrated, due to the sensitivity of this area.

Saint Lucia is a country with limited experience in the area of disaster risk analysis. Prioritisation of risks has been limited to the sphere of climate change and areas of intervention have been proposed in this regard through the SNC process, and presented in the SNC Report.

However, the current broad level risk assessment/analysis indicates that the country presents predominant conditions of high exposure and susceptibility, social fragility and lack of resilience that favour risk accumulation and incapacity to respond to disasters. It is also apparent that while the country’s risks from a macro-economic and financial perspective in terms of responding to catastrophic events may decrease, the country still does not have resources to face catastrophic events.

Priorities for addressing risk have been presented in the Disaster Management Policy Framework approved by Cabinet in 2009, and are consistent with those emanating from the B-Tool Assessments, SNC and HFA monitoring reports. These include:

1. Timely, coordinated and focused direction of resources towards the disaster management system’s effective operation.
2. Maintaining institutions that are technically capable of efficiently executing the comprehensive disaster management programme.
3. Developing local expertise capable of operating and maintaining the disaster management system.
4. Ensuring that the public is well informed and educated about disasters, their consequences and preventive and mitigation measures.

Information provided in the document is deemed valid only at the date of publication as risks are intended to be monitored on an ongoing basis.

Objective of the Policy is to advance an approach to disaster management that focuses on reducing risks - the risk of loss of life, economic disruption and damage to the environment and property, especially to those sections of the population who are most vulnerable due to poverty and a general lack of resources.
5. Creating an environment in which the private and non-government sectors contribute meaningfully to the comprehensive disaster management effort.

**Some key issues for consideration with regard to risks from 2011 GAR**

- The sheer scale of recurrent and probable maximum losses should be enough to shock governments into action.
- Governments are liable for a significant part of total expected losses – and they rarely have the contingency financing to match this liability.
- Governments need to decide how much risk they are willing to retain and how much they can afford to transfer.
- A balanced portfolio of prospective, corrective and compensatory risk management strategies is the most cost-effective way to reduce disaster risks and support development.

**Chapter 9: Strategic Guidelines for Country DRR**

One of the aims of adopting a DRR approach is to help countries transform to a sustainable disaster resilient development path. The evidence for Saint Lucia investing in DRR is clear as risks are increasing, as evidenced by the increase in occurrence and the demonstrable severe impacts of multiple disasters, with regard to rising socio-economic losses related to sharp rises in damage to housing and critical local infrastructure and public assets such bridges, schools and health facilities, and the likelihood of further exacerbation by the impacts of climate change. The future vulnerability of Saint Lucia will also depend on its development path. Further, every risk context is unique. It is therefore, important that the path to building resilience is response to the specific challenges and opportunities that local communities face.

A national CDM strategy has been endorsed through high level consultations in country since 2001, but there seems to be limited progress in integrating disaster management into the planning process, as the required political leadership to champion the process and technical capacity to implement the type of activities identified were inadequate to enable the requisite paradigm shift. There is need therefore, to leverage new entry points for disaster risk reduction by taking advantage of the new directions for DRR in the country’s CDM Strategy, and integrating them into existing mechanisms, for development decision making and planning, including that for climate change adaptation.

Strategic directions for DRR were charted coming out of the consultative process for the elaboration of the CP-DRR, based on the priorities identified in Chapter 8, incorporating the findings of the GAR\(^\text{19}\) and recommendations emanating from the work of UNISDR on Making Cities Resilient, as well as climate change adaptation response in Saint Lucia’s SNC. These all -

---

\(^{19}\) UN 2011. 2011 Global Assessment Report
encompassing strategic directions and guidelines are presented within the context of the five elements of DRR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRR Elements</th>
<th>Strategic Direction</th>
<th>Strategic Guidelines/Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Risk Awareness and Assessment | Improve Information on how hazards are changing with detailed risk assessments from diverse sources of knowledge | • Enhanced risk analysis methodologies – risk indicators/indices  
• Multi-hazard assessments – risk and vulnerability |
| Knowledge Development         | Build Adaptive Capacity: with new skills, knowledge and resources                     | • Enhance systems for Research and Systematic Observation (RSO)  
• Structured and targeted Public Education and Outreach (PEO) Programmes |
| Public Commitment and Institutional Frameworks | Empower and support communities to address root causes of vulnerability  
Build participatory risk governance capacities | • Strengthened physical planning – regulate urban and local development with DRR instruments and mechanisms – e.g. building codes, land use planning, etc.  
• Institutionalise structures for disaster management with multi-sectoral, multi-stakeholder platforms at the national and community level |
| Application Measures          | Investment in hazard mitigation/resilience building measures in all public and private development | • Increase capital investment for climate sensitive/ disaster resilient infrastructure and national assets  
• Enhance programmes for social protection (e.g. poverty reduction) to include resilience building  
• Protection of ecosystems – watershed management activities; re-afforestation |
| Early Warning Systems         | Improve forecasting, dissemination and preparedness measures and reaction capacities | • Enhance and expand sphere of early warning systems  
• Improve and expand data monitoring networks |
Chapter 10: Conclusions and Recommendations

Disaster and climate change impacts are cross-sectoral in nature and are usually manifested in effects on the country’s natural resources, national infrastructure and assets and the population. These impacts may however, be further exacerbated by the local risk realities emanating from physical, social, economic, environmental and political factors. At present, considerations for disaster prevention, mitigation or preparedness are not formally and routinely integrated into the appraisal of projects or programmes, particularly those financed by public investments in for example infrastructure, health and education. This suggests that costs for rehabilitation post disaster, simply recurs as opposed to the development of climate resilient projects.

The Global Assessment Report (GAR), 2011, states that while great strides have been made in reducing loss of life, for at least weather related disasters, the reality remains that disaster-related socio-economic losses continue to rise. This is particularly so in developing countries where damage to housing and critical local infrastructure and public assets such bridges, schools and health facilities has risen sharply. It is therefore apparent that the risk of losing wealth to natural disasters is beginning to outpace wealth creation, and as advocated, disaster risk reduction (DRR) must of need be incorporated in all sustainable development strategies.

As financial resources continue to decline, the GOSL, like all other governments, will be driven to increasingly give consideration to resilience building. Key challenges in enhancing resilience to climate and disaster risks in Saint Lucia, include managing the overall disaster risks to ensure social protection, maintain economic resilience and minimise environmental degradation, protecting vulnerable and critical infrastructure, promoting sustainable land use planning and reforestation, ensuring security of water supply and water resources, and collecting and analyzing climate change and disaster related data and information in a comprehensive and sustainable fashion in order to be able to respond to the challenges posed by climate and disaster shocks and stresses.

It is clear that a re-shaping of the policy mix to reflect the changing climate and disaster context is needed to provide a strategic framework for action, that will promote environmentally sound development, through the resourcing and empowering of local/community level institutions for building the resilience of citizens and the state.

---

20 Over the past three decades, the risk of economic loss as a result of floods rose by over 160 per cent, while economic loss incurred as a result of cyclones surged by 265 per cent in member countries of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). UN, 2011. 2011 Global Assessment Report (GAR) on Disaster risk reduction (DRR) – Revealing Risks, Redefining Development.
21 Nassir Abdulaziz Al-Nasser, President of the General Assembly for RIO +20 Conference in thematic debate on disaster risk reduction: “addressing disaster risk reduction is therefore inseparable from the broader sustainable development agenda.”
The Government of Saint Lucia, and in particular all agencies responsible for national development, must therefore, be committed, in conjunction with development partners, to assume their relevant roles and work towards capacity enhancement, to ensure a well constructed framework, integrating elements of policy, institutional structures and processes, and organizational and individual capacities, to effect a more coordinated response to DRR implementation for the realisation of sustainable disaster resilient development. Requisite and concrete resources (human, technical and financial) must also be committed and reflected within the National Budget to support the implementation of DRR activities and for investments in DRR.
2. INTRODUCTION

2.1 Background

The preparation of Saint Lucia’s Country Profile for Disaster Risk Reduction (SLU CP-DRR) was made possible through financial support under the United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Risk Reduction, Disaster Preparedness and Prevention – European Commission for Humanitarian Aid (UNISDR-DIPECHO) Project.

The principal objective of the regional DIPECHO project is to contribute to “building the resilience of nations and communities to disasters by increasing awareness surrounding the importance of disaster risk reduction throughout the Caribbean”. Under the framework of the DIPECHO Action Plan for the Caribbean 2011-2012, Saint Lucia is one of the pilot countries selected to apply a standardized structure and guide to develop country documents for Disaster Risk Reduction.

In undertaking this compilation, the outputs of previous documents related to hazard risk management and resilience building such as National Emergency Management Plan and the myriad of associated hazard, sector, etc, plans, including the National Hazard and Mitigation Policy and Plan (NHMP), the Disaster Management Policy Framework, the Strategic Plan for Climate Resilience (SPCR), Second National Communication (SNC) to UNFCC, Mauritius Strategy (MSI), CEDEMA Disaster Management (CDM) Audit and HFA Progress reports, among others, were considered against the backdrop of current and emerging development challenges and the impact of various hazards on key sectors of the economy.


2.2 Purpose of the Country Profile Document

The key objective of The CP-DRR is to provide a comprehensive overview of the status of DRR in the country, including an assessment of progress made in reducing risk, the processes implemented for the reduction of vulnerability and the strengthening of resilience to risks caused by natural and other hazards, as well as the economic, social and environmental impacts that risks generate. It is meant to be a joint guide, validated and influenced by national and local authorities\(^{22}\), communities, HFA Focal Point, scientific bodies, regional and

\(^{22}\) These include national authorities responsible for DRR implementation in the country, key stakeholders working on DRR in all relevant sectors (such as health, education, planning, housing and development, economic affairs and finance, etc.), technical and scientific institutions, the private sector and civil society.
international cooperation agencies, which identifies the major hazards, vulnerabilities and capacities at national, sub-national and local levels, and guides the organization of coordinated and complementary action for DRR in terms of priority areas and strategies.

The CP-DRR is intended to harmonize and link existing DRR information in the country, both for this initial formulation and the updating of the Country Document, as well as to fill the need for documentation on the current state of DRR in the countries as an element of sustainable development. This first CP-DRR, derived from the pilot activity has therefore been designed to be flexible, and thus adaptable to changing circumstances and to the continuous inclusion of improvements and innovations, in its regular updating. Thus it can become the institutional DRR memory of the country.

It is envisioned that the CP-DRR will be useful as an important national reference document to guide the design of policies and strategies, promote decision making, and the formulation of DRR actions and activities, for bringing about sustainable development, as well as facilitate the establishment of channels for mutual help and cooperation.

The main elements of the CP-DRR derived from the key outputs of the process are highlighted in Box 1.

Box 2: Main Components of CP-DRR

- International and regional context for DRR;
- Identification of national circumstances that impact DRR;
- Compilation of disaster inventory;
- Risk identification and analysis - integration of vulnerability and adaptation assessments;
- State of disaster risk in the country;
- Priorities for DRR in country; and
- Strategic directions for DRR.

2.3 Conceptual Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR)

Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) is the conceptual framework of elements considered with the possibilities to minimize vulnerabilities and disaster risks throughout a society, to avoid (prevention) or to limit (mitigation and preparedness) the adverse impacts of hazards, within the broad context of sustainable development.\(^{23}\)
The key elements of DRR are:

- **Risk awareness and assessment** including hazard analysis and vulnerability/capacity analysis;
- **Knowledge development** including education, training, research and information;
- **Public commitment and institutional frameworks**, including organizational, policy, legislation and community action;
- **Application of measures** including environmental management, land-use and urban planning, protection of critical facilities, application of science and technology, partnership and networking, and financial instruments;
- **Early warning systems** including forecasting, dissemination of warnings, preparedness measures and reaction capacities
3. METHODOLOGY

The compilation of the CP-DRR is recognized and appreciated as the result of the combined input and participation of a wide range of stakeholders across the various sectors of the Saint Lucian economy, including government agencies, statutory bodies, non-governmental organizations and civil society. The process, which commenced in March 2012, was executed under the oversight of the UNISDR Focal Points in Panama and Barbados, and coordinated through the Local Project Counterpart, the National Emergency Management Organisation (NEMO).

Final approval was obtained through a national consultative process with the broad-based national workshops held with Community Disaster Management Committees on September 11, 2012, and the members of the National Emergency Management Advisory Committee (NEMAC) on September 12, 2012, with a final approval sought from the NEMAC for onward approval of the Cabinet of Ministers on November 21, 2012.

3.1 Process

The methodology used in the process of preparing the CP-DRR is outlined in Figure 1.

![Diagram of Process for Preparation of Country Profile for Disaster Risk Reduction](image)

Figure 1. Process for Preparation of Country Profile for Disaster Risk Reduction

**Participants**

The process of preparing the Country Document was inclusive and participatory, under the leadership of the entity with responsibility for coordinating the national disaster risk
management (DRM) system, that is, the National Emergency Management Organisation (NEMO). The process involved the collaboration of all the actors, including national authorities responsible for DRR implementation in the country, key stakeholders working on DRR in all relevant sectors (such as health, education, planning, housing and development, economic affairs and finance, etc.), technical and scientific institutions, the private sector, civil society, international cooperation agencies, DIPECHO partners, and members of existing DRR platforms, among others. A list of key stakeholders is provided in Table xx in the Annex.

**Modalities**

The process of preparing the CP-DRR was facilitated mainly through the modalities of desk research, work meetings including focus group discussions and one-on-on interviews, and national workshops involving the participation of all the actors. Other modalities utilised during the process of development of the document included telephone discourses and the use of other e-media, such as e-mail and skype. Two national consultations/workshops were held, to obtain feedback at the policy, technical and community levels, to validate and finalise the draft Country Document. A second draft of the document was completed incorporating the recommendations from the national workshop. This was circulated among the members of the National Emergency Management Advisory Council (NEMAC), for final approval as a nationally approved document, prior to submission to the Cabinet of Ministers for their approval.

**Information Needs**

The CP-DRR responds to information requirements about the state of disaster risk and the management of risk reduction at the national level. The knowledge, experience, and perceptions of key stakeholders of the national system, platforms or committees participating in the process of HFA progress reporting and this current process for the elaboration of the CP-DRR, form the basis for the information’s development.

**Phases of the process**

The three-stage process, recommended in the standardised format and guidelines for elaboration of the document, was adopted with slight variations in the actions within the stages. These comprised:

1° Planning,
2° Joint development or updating of the Country Document, and
3° Consultation and approval.

**Actions undertaken**

The following were the actions undertaken in each phase:

**First phase, planning:**
• Definition of criteria and guidelines for the process,
• Identification and contact with participants and key stakeholders,
• Preparation of the work plan and schedule, and
• Definition of methodological aspects: items for analysis and the design of tools for compiling information.

Second phase, joint preparation or updating of the Country Document:

Gathering of information from direct and indirect sources,

• Organization of the information compiled,
• Analysis of the information, and
• Preparation or updating of the Country Document.

Third phase, consultation and approval:

• Presentation of the draft Country Document,
• Consultation to gather additional information and suggestions,
• National workshop to validate and gather final inputs, and
• Validation and approval of the Country Document.

3.2 Assumptions and Limitations

The main assumptions made in undertaking the assignment were as follows:

• Information and historical data would be up-to-date, available and accessible;
• There was sufficient level of sensitisation and awareness, and understanding of DRM issues and DRR concepts, to generate ownership of and obtain buy-in for project implementation, which would be demonstrated in commitment and cooperation towards project implementation. This in turn would allow for the full range of information to be gathered in order to undertake as comprehensive review and assessment.
• Stakeholders would be willing to share information, and where information gaps existed would assist in generating the required information through other means.
• Using a participatory approach to ensure a shared vision on the project’s direction, methods and results would lead to better stakeholders’ understanding of the project and its benefits and help overcome the inherent scepticism with regard to unfamiliar aspects of project implementation.
• The outcomes of the risks assessments would provide a reasonable basis for determination of DRR priority areas and design of strategies

However these assumptions in conjunction with the following limitations would have impacted the quality of the output:
An apparent pervasive culture of withholding information, and a reluctance to share information, particularly within the local realm, hence difficulty in obtaining relevant information/documents from some key stakeholders.

An inadequate research and systematic observation framework and a resulting inadequacy of data to support the process.

The inadequacy of the existing information, with regard to the level of coverage, currency and the availability of data in varying formats and at varying scales of capture.

To overcome most of these limitations and to permit the timely undertaking of comprehensive assessments within the given time frame, the Consultant had to adapt the methodology, in order to collect substitute/alternative data and information. The intent was to attain the best possible level of accuracy through the innovative use of the existing information supported by anecdotal evidence and expert judgement from the consultative process.

Important to note is that the information compiled in the CP-DRR was the most relevant and available at the time of preparation of the document.
4. INTERNATIONAL AND REGIONAL CONTEXT FOR DRR

4.1 International DRR Context

The definition of an international context for DRR began with the UN International Decade for Natural Disaster Reduction (IDNDR), during the 1990’s, which prompted governments, donors and other key players to begin to emphasize mitigation and “comprehensive disaster management”. As a follow-up of the IDNDR, the United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (UNISDR) was adopted by the member states of the United Nations in 2000, in an effort to ensure the implementation of the Yokohama Strategy and Plan of Action for a Safer World adopted since 1994. This strategy aims to achieve substantive reduction of disaster losses and build resilient communities and nations, as an essential condition for sustainable development.

Other global strategies and platforms which define the context for DRR include, The European Community Humanitarian Organization (ECHO), which recognizes disaster preparedness and prevention within its mandate for humanitarian aid and the more recent Hyogo Framework for Action (HFA) 2005–2015: Building the Resilience of Nations and Communities to Disasters, among others. The goal of the HFA is “the substantial reduction of disaster losses, in lives as well as the social, economic and environmental assets of communities and countries” and is the reference framework for DRR public policy.

The Global Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction is also an international element of the ISDR System. It is responsible for raising awareness and reiterates commitments, for sharing experience on implementation among stakeholders and Governments, addressing gaps, and for providing strategic guidance and coherence for implementing the Hyogo Framework. The “Children’s Charter for Disaster Risk Reduction” developed in across Africa, Asia and Latin America the Panama Declaration on Disaster Risk Reduction have also serve to advance initiatives for disaster risk management in the education sector.

Recent World Disaster Risk Reduction Campaigns such as “Disaster risk reduction begins at school” 2006-2007, “Hospitals safe from disaster” 2008-2009, “Making Cities Resilient – My city is getting ready!” 2010-2015, which follow on the themes of the previous campaigns through the global advocacy initiative called “One Million Safe Schools and Hospitals”, are also contributing to the dialogue on DRR.

http://www.unisdr.org/hfa

24 http://www.unisdr.org/hfa
25 The Global Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction is replacing the Inter-Agency Task Force with its same mandate and open-ended participation by Member States. www.preventionweb.net/globalplatform
26 The Children’s Charter for Disaster Risk Reduction was launched during the Global Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction held in May 2011 in Geneva. See: http://www.childreninachangingclimate.org/database/CCC/Publications/children_charter.pdf
The international context for DRR is also enshrined in agreements such as the Johannesburg Declaration, the Mauritius Strategy for Implementation (MSI) of Agenda 21 and the Millennium Declaration and the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), which are driving development aid and development agendas worldwide. Reducing disaster risk is deemed necessary to achieve the MDGs, particularly those related to poverty reduction and environmental sustainability. Saint Lucia is also committed to the implementation of the Barbados Programme of Action (BPoA)\(^\text{27}\). The BPoA and the MSI underscore the particular vulnerability of SIDS in the face of climate change and outline specific response measures to be taken at global, regional and national levels.

Saint Lucia is also signatory to the United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change (UNFCCC) and several multi-lateral agreements which have implications for DRR.

### 4.2 Regional Context for DRR

The regional (CARICOM) development agenda is based on sustainable development, which encompasses economic, social, environmental and governance dimensions, while the sub-regional agenda of the Organisation of Eastern Caribbean States (OECS) is anchored in the dimension of human development. The Barbados Programme of Action (BPoA)\(^\text{28}\) calls for the integration of natural and environmental disaster policies into national development. The St George's Declaration of Principles of Environmental Sustainability (SGD) in the OECD, 1979, embodies the commitment of governments of the Eastern Caribbean to “environmentally sustainable development as essential for the creation of jobs, a stable society, and a healthy economy”.

Like in most Caribbean SIDS, the last two decades, have seen radical changes in the policy environment for the region, where issues of disaster risk management and disaster risk reduction were not seen as priority, to where the linkages between disaster management and development are being explored in the context of all of the three components of sustainable development: economic development, social development and environmental protection. Disaster risk management has slowly begun to infiltrate the various spheres of public policy, programmes and plans. The Work of intergovernmental bodies such as the Organization of American States (OAS), the Organisation of Eastern Caribbean States (OECS), and the Caribbean Disaster Emergency Management Agency (CDEMA), is particularly noteworthy in this regard. The OAS/USAID Caribbean Disaster Mitigation Project (CDMP) in 1993 was one of the first of its kind in the region. In addition, the Kingston Declaration of 2005 purposed to improve disaster Risk management capabilities in the region, while the Saint Marc Plan of Action, an agreement of the ACS (2007) gives cognizance to disaster reduction as an important element in the achievement of

\(^{27}\) The BPoA sets forth specific actions and measures to be taken at the national, regional and international levels to support the sustainable development of Small Island Developing States (SIDS).

\(^{28}\) The Programme of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States, adopted at the SIDS conference in Barbados in 1994, sets forth specific actions and measures to be taken at the national, regional and international levels to support the sustainable development of Small Island Developing States (SIDS).
the Millennium Development Goals, particularly with respect to poverty reduction and environmental sustainability; as well as in the adoption Hyogo Framework of Action.

Of particular importance is the Comprehensive Disaster Management (CDM) strategic framework, conceptualized for the Caribbean in 2001, by the Caribbean Disaster Emergency Response Agency (CDERA). CDM expanded the focus of disaster management from the prevailing relief and response mode to include disaster risk and mitigation considerations into the planning and development stages, and expanded the range or partners in the DRM framework to facilitate this. The Enhanced CDM Framework (2005-2015) reoriented the focus to “building resilience of nations and communities to hazard impacts”, through the expansion and replication of several on-going best practices throughout in the following priority areas:

- Hazard mapping and vulnerability assessment
- Flood management
- Community disaster planning
- Early warning systems
- Climate change
- Knowledge enhancement

The Regional Framework for Achieving Development Resilient to Climate Change (2009-2014) also establishes the direction for the continued building of resilience to the impacts of GCC by CARICOM states and is aimed at building mechanisms to systematically address development challenges posed by climate change. The Framework builds on the significant contributions of projects such as Caribbean Planning for Adaptation to Climate Change (CPACC), Adaptation to Climate Change in the Caribbean (ACCC) and Mainstreaming Adaptation to Climate Change (MACC) and Implementation of Adaptation measures in Coastal Zones (SPACC).

Regional Platforms for Disaster Risk Reduction are also promoted by the UN/ISDR secretariat and partners for information sharing and coordination among existing bodies. Also of particular note is the sectoral work carried out with regard to evaluation of disaster risks in sectors such as health and education, with the use of tools such as the Health Sector Self Assessment Tool for Disaster Risk Reduction29.

4.3 National DRR Context

Primary agreements which underpin disaster management at the national level include:

1. Articles Establishing the Caribbean Disaster Emergency Management Agency
3. Association of Caribbean States - Agreement to Create the Special Committee on Natural Disasters
4. Memorandum of Understanding between International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies and United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs in regards to the International Federation assuming a Leading Role in Emergency Shelter in Natural Disasters.

The country is signatory to approximately 26 multilateral environmental agreements, many of which have implications for DRR, particularly in sectors such as agriculture, fisheries, forestry, health, and sustainable development. A compilation of key agreements and instruments, the focal agencies/points and the particular relevance of the agreement/instrument to the current disaster management framework is presented in the NEMP.

In 2004, the country approved the formulation of an environmental policy as the key mechanism for implementation of the SGD. The National Environmental Policy (NEP) and the National Environmental Management Strategy (NEMS), surpassed the SGD, incorporating all other related policies, plans, programmes and strategies, including those developed under international and regional agreements. Hazard risk management is also a key element of the NEMS. Also worthy of note, is that as far back as 2004, the country had begun the process of promoting Integrated Development Planning (IDP) and had formulated a preliminary set of indicators in this regard.

As part of the UN/ISDR systems, a National Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction has been initiated for implementation of the HFA, 2005-2015, with the Director of the NEMO as the National Focal point. The HFA is intended to assists efforts at the national and community level for resilience building in order to better cope with the hazards that threaten development gains. To date however, the main activity has been the compilation of HFA progress reports.

The National CDM Strategy and Framework is a derivative of the Regional Enhanced CDM Strategy and Framework which is explicitly connected to the all the above mentioned international and regional agreements, strategies and platforms, including the HFA.

The means to better facilitate international support for DRR at the regional and country levels are now imperatives. Experience to date indicates the need for greater effort to improve coordination between organizations and cooperation agencies to develop synergies and the development of a more holistic understanding of disaster risk reduction, environmental management and sustainable development, and their interaction.
5. NATIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES

This chapter briefly describes the country’s physical characteristics, climate and demographics, followed by an overview of the socio-economic situation under which the CP-DRR was prepared. The chapter also includes an outline of the governance structure of the country, with a particular focus on organisational levels and coordination mechanisms between state and non-state actors. The Chapter provides the background against which the analysis of disaster risk and vulnerability and adaptation is to be addressed for the CP-DRR.

5.1 Physical Environment

5.1.1. Geographic location
Saint Lucia is situated in the Lesser Antillean Arc of the Caribbean Archipelago at latitude 13° 53’ north and longitude 60° 68’ west and situated on a volcanic ridge between Martinique to the North and St. Vincent and the Grenadines to the south. (Figure 1). The island is 42 km long, 22 km wide, and has a land area of 616 km², and is characterised by mountainous and rugged in topography, with steep slopes cut by fast-flowing rivers.

Source: Google Earth

Figure 2: Saint Lucia Map and Location in the Caribbean Archipelago

5.1.2 Physiography
The island is characterised by very rugged topography, with a central ridge of mountains, including Mount Gimie, the highest peak at 950m, and numerous steep off-shoot ridges extending towards the coast.
The island’s soils have been divided into three mineralogical groupings, allophans, kaolinites and montmorillonites. The allophone group is representative of younger soils and occupies the highest rainfall areas particularly in the south. Kaolinitic soils occupy the older land surfaces in high rainfall areas in the north and finally, the montmorillonites are located in the drier and some intermediate areas. The interior soils tend to be inherently more stable to surface erosion (good aggregation on account of extent of weathering), but are easily degraded once the forest cover particularly on steep slopes are removed. The montmorillonitic (expanding lattice) clays dominate the thin soils around the coastal areas and are highly erodible once the vegetation is disturbed.

Saint Lucia has 37 main watersheds; ten of these are small multiple drainage basin complexes (Figure 5). They all radiate from the central mountain ranges of the interior towards the coast, and activities occurring in one area can very rapidly have negative environmental impacts on surrounding ecosystems and in particular, changes taking place in upper watershed areas very rapidly impact on lower watershed and coastal areas. Within these watersheds, 25 water catchments are harnessed for domestic water supply, with most of the water consumed or used on the island coming from runoff from catchment areas in the upper reaches of 7 catchments, with headwaters mainly in the mountainous south-central area of the island.

As a result of the rugged topography and the absence of intermediate collection points for surface water, such as lakes and ponds, the majority of rainfall flows to the sea with very little opportunity for ground water storage. The natural forested areas make a significant contribution to the interception of this rainfall, allowing

---

32 Christopher Anthony Cox 2003 Integrated Watershed Management Planning for Saint Lucia. A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctorate of Philosophy. McGill University, Quebec Canada
infiltration into the sub surface, thereby contributing to the sustaining of base flows in the river systems beyond the rainy periods.

Approximately 30% of Saint Lucia’s land area is pastoral and arable land with less than 10% of the total land area occurring on slopes of less than five (5) degrees\(^{34}\). As a result, the application of some type of soil conservation measure is necessary on more than 90% of the land area for any type of use\(^{35}\).

The forest reserves are regarded as exceptionally well preserved in the country, comprising mainly rainforest ecosystems. Preliminary findings from the National Forest Demarcation and Bio-Physical Resource Inventory Project (2009) demonstrate the diverse forest types (habitats) in Saint Lucia and large variety of biodiversity which they support, as well as the protection afforded to the island’s water resources. Between 1990 and 2000, it is estimated that the island lost 36% of its forest cover as a result of clearing of natural vegetation for agriculture, construction and other development purposes\(^{36}\). Current efforts are focused at increasing this cover within the Government Forest Reserve (protected forests), in an attempt to redress this loss.

The combination of the steep topography and young volcanic soils, constantly subjected to seasonal high rainfall, make the island very susceptible to soil erosion. Vulnerability to disasters such as landslides is further exacerbated by the poor land management practices. In addition, the narrow coastal strip which circumscribes the island is characterised by concentrations of haphazard and unplanned human settlement and other development, posing a growing threat to the sustainability of the fragile terrestrial, coastal and marine ecosystems. For example, coral reefs in Saint Lucia are under threat from high levels of sedimentation and other land based pollutants, with an average loss of 47% of coral reef cover in shallow waters and 48% in deeper water observed between 1995 and 2001 in reefs along the central west coast, particularly those bordering the town of Soufriere. Near shore fisheries are also threatened.\(^{37}\)

### 5.1.3 Climate and Weather

Saint Lucia experiences a tropical maritime climate. Located within the north-east Trade Wind belt the island is normally under an easterly flow of moist, warm air and temperatures with average temperatures of 27\(^{\circ}\) C (790 F) and a relative humidity of 75%. Temperatures rarely rise above 33\(^{\circ}\) C or fall below 20\(^{\circ}\) C. The highest temperatures are recorded around June to September, and the lowest in the months of December.

---

\(^{34}\) Most of the flat or gently-sloping land is found along the narrow coastal belt.


\(^{36}\) ibid

\(^{37}\) Department of Fisheries
The amount of daily sunshine received over Saint Lucia is at a maximum from February to May and at a minimum around September. Radiation values vary widely over the island and this is mainly due to cloud cover. As such, elevated regions with greater cloud cover receive less direct radiation than the low-lying coastal regions.

The island experiences two climatic seasons, a wet season which extends from June to November, while the dry season runs from December to May. Tropical disturbances (waves, depressions, storms, hurricanes), account for the greater amount of the recorded rainfall during the rainy season. Local convectional showers and other weather systems account for the remainder. Rainfall amounts show annual and spatial variation\(^{38}\), with the orographic effects quite pronounced (Figure 5).

Wind speeds are highest on average during the months of January to July, when the average is 24 km h\(^{-1}\). Between August and December the speeds are less, averaging 16 km h\(^{-1}\). Higher gusts are occasionally experienced with the passage of tropical disturbances and cyclones.

**Figure 6** shows spatial distribution of areas with high annual cumulative moisture deficit and number of dry months. These scenarios, against the backdrop of un-sustained river base flows, have serious implications for the island’s water supply, in terms of water availability at both the community and national level. A further aggravation with regard to the national water supply are the various kinds of activities\(^{39}\) taking place in upper watershed areas, which continue to rapidly impact on lower watershed and coastal areas, and have negative environmental impacts on surrounding ecosystems, in particular, the quality of surface water.

\(^{38}\) Mean annual rainfall varies from 1,450 mm in a relatively flat coastal region such as Hewannora in the south, to 3,450 mm to an elevated interior region such as Edmond Forest.

\(^{39}\) These include among others, unsustainable agricultural practices, unplanned human settlements and poor solid waste management.
Climate Change

Global Climate Change (GCC) is considered one of the most serious threats to the sustainable development of small island states (SIDS) in the Caribbean and the projected impacts are expected to be devastating. The main impacts of climate change identified for Saint Lucia include changes in precipitation patterns manifested in longer dry spells (droughts), excessive rainfall (flooding, landslides), more intense storms, increased hurricane intensity, excessive heat and storm surge, especially due to hurricane activity, with storm surges expected to exacerbate with sea level rise. Climate change projections and summary for Saint Lucia, adapted from the Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC are included in Appendix xx in the Annex.

It is well recognized that the special characteristics of small islands like Saint Lucia, already pose serious challenges to the attainment of sustainable development; among these are relatively small size; an open economy with a negative balance of trade; a limited natural resource base; fragile ecosystems; limited human capacity and resources; limited technological capability, and low adaptive capacity. These too make them inherently prone to the large range of potential impacts from climate change. These unavoidable consequences of climate change are coupled with the fact that the majority of the country’s human settlements and centres of economic activity are located in the coastal lowlands\footnote{The high vulnerability of coastal communities to global climate change and sea level rise leads to increased inundation of coastal areas; loss of land, habitat, and ecosystems, as well as ecosystem services and consequently economic losses; reduction of access to communities; threats to sanitation and health; abandonment of community infrastructure; reduced investment on coastal areas; loss of life and livelihoods; and, loss of traditional values and resources, from climate induced disasters.}, and over 28\% of the population is economically and socially vulnerable - under the poverty line.\footnote{Saint Lucia Poverty Assessment Report, Kairi Consultants, 2005/6}

The onset of the climate change phenomenon thus imposes new hazards on Saint Lucia and exacerbates the impacts of existing hazards. Climate change is thus expected to bring enormous and unpredictable impacts, likely to trigger disasters that have cross-cutting and multi-sectoral impacts.

### 5.2 Socio-Economic Context

#### 5.2.1 Population and demographics

Saint Lucia’s population is largely African in origin, complemented by large groups of persons of mixed origin, and Indian descent and other smaller groups represented are Chinese, Portuguese, Syrian-Lebanese, Caucasian and Amerindian (Carib).

The estimated population for Saint Lucia as at the 2010 Census was 166,526\footnote{Saint Lucia Housing and Population Census, 2010}, with 51.1\% female and 48.9\% male, and 24.1\% of the population under 14 years and 33.3\% between 14 and 34 years. Persons over 60 years make up 8.6\% of the population, with 75\% of this age group being female. Saint Lucia’s island wide population density is 796 persons per square mile\footnote{This figure was calculated using a land area of 208 square miles that excludes Saint Lucia’s forest reserve areas. Forest reserve areas cover approximately 30 square miles.}, with large segments of the island’s population are located along the coastal belt, where low land agriculture,
coastal resources, reefs, fisheries and tourism are the main sources of livelihood. Approximately 60 percent of the population resides along the north-west corridor. The island’s population is rapidly becoming urbanised, with approximately 41 percent of the total population residing in the city of Castries and 55 percent of the population residing in the Castries-Gros-Islet corridor (Figure 5).

The rapid urbanisation of the former rural areas of the island, with denser populations living in unplanned or informal settlements and has led to increase risk with regard to natural and man induced disasters.

### 5.2.2 Socio-Economic Status

Table 2, Saint Lucia at a Glance, provides some key social and economic indicators of performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>165,595</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>616km2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitable Area</td>
<td>539.1 km2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic Demographics</th>
<th>Population Density</th>
<th>Urban Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth Rate (per 1000)</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>2008 - 41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death Rate (per 1000)</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2010 - 74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Mortality Rate</td>
<td>13.43</td>
<td>Avg Annual Growth 2004 -2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure of Economy</th>
<th>Age Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%GDP (2010 est.)</td>
<td>0-14 years: 24.4% (male 20,035/female 19,021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>15-64 years: 66.4% (male 51,593/female 54,843)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>65 years and over: 9.2% (male 6,668/female 8,107)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation Rate (period average)</td>
<td>% poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment Rate (average)</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>79.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary School Enrolment</td>
<td>Total Revenue and Grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary School Enrolment</td>
<td>858.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>Current Revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18,594</td>
<td>789.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,655</td>
<td>Total Expenditure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,929</td>
<td>1,032.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted from World Bank, 2010 and PPCR Report, 2011

Economy

The country’s economic fundamentals have remained solid, but at the global level, there are a number of externalities that have impacted and continue to impact the island’s economy, such as changing trade regimes, rising fuel prices and the international financial crisis. Global trading arrangements have eroded traditional markets for trade in primary products, (in particular bananas), and cheaper imports continue to threaten local industries and increase the food import bill and balance of trade deficit.

Over the last two decades, the country’s economy has undergone significant adjustment from being agrarian-based to being service-based, with the services sector, and in particular tourism, leading economic growth. Manufacturing and industry have remained as important productive sectors. The construction sector too has contributed immensely to GDP and employment. For the foreseeable future, Saint Lucia’s economic growth and development will continue to be centred around tourism, agriculture, infrastructural development and commercial sectors, with tourism being at the core of the development thrust.

In recent years, there has been a rapid increase in the exposure of economic assets and earning potential to physical hazards. Consequently, while economic assets and jobs are being created, the risk of losing these economic assets and livelihoods from a disaster is increasing. Despite the magnitude of potential costs and loss of income, reducing disaster risks is still often perceived as a lesser priority than fiscal stability, unemployment or inflation. The impact of disasters, when all costs are calculated, can therefore represent major losses for government for example in livelihoods, infrastructure, health, housing and education.

Nonetheless, the emerging sectors of banking and insurance, though tied to the international financial systems, and as a result tend to respond to anomalies at the global level, still present opportunities to manage disaster risks through mechanisms for risk transfer, thus assisting in making those key economic sectors more resilient to disaster related impacts.

5.2.3 Human Development (Poverty and unemployment, Education)

Country data in Table 2 also show good social indicators, including low levels of maternal and infant mortality, universal primary and secondary education, low fertility, and increasing life expectancy. However, these exist alongside high and increasing levels of poverty – 25.1 percent in 1995 and 28.8 percent in 2005/06, one of the considerable social gaps and deficiencies, primarily in rural areas, and linked to high unemployment and underemployment rates46.

---

46 The Poverty Assessment (2005/06) identified the ripple effect of decline in banana earnings into other areas of the economy, spreading poverty beyond the agricultural sector and contributing to increased poverty and vulnerability in rural communities.
Poverty in Saint Lucia has been primarily a rural phenomenon. Poverty data from the Census Report (2010) show prevalence rates for poverty in excess of 35 percent in the rural districts of the south and south-west of the island Anse-la-Raye/Canaries, Choiseul, Vieux Fort, with some pockets in the north-east of the island (Figure 5). Further, 40.3 percent of the population was deemed to be vulnerable. As in most countries around the world, children bear the brunt of poverty. Over 50% of the poor are under the age of 20 and the incidence of poverty is higher among children than among adults. The incidence of poverty is slightly higher among men than among women, 29% and 25% respectively. The incidence of poverty among female headed households (21.2%) is about the same as among male headed households (22%).

Unemployment remains high, particularly among the youth. The economically active population is estimated at one third of the population, with 22 percent employed in agriculture, 25 percent employed in manufacturing and 53 percent in tourism and other services. Declines in the key economic sectors, such as agriculture, have also exacerbated the effects of unemployment and poverty, the combined impact of which has contributed to a slowdown in economic activity in Saint Lucia over the last three years.

Education is free, with the country having attained universal secondary education in 2006. In 2010, the net secondary enrolment rate was 90.8% for males and 95.2% for females. Secondary enrolment, especially among boys, is much lower. Adult literacy stands at 95%. A positive correlation has been demonstrated with increasing incidence of poverty and increased vulnerability to impacts of disasters and climate change impacts (e.g. rainfall changes, sea level rise and storm surge). The HFA governance structure also recognises that issues such as increased population densities, environmental degradation, and global warming, adding to poverty make the impacts of natural hazards worse. Recent remarks from UN Secretary General Ban ki-Moon...

---

47 Saint Lucia Poverty Assessment Report, Kairi Consultants, 2005/6
48 Vulnerability in this case measures the proportion of the population that would be susceptible to falling into poverty as a result of an unanticipated event such a natural disaster or adverse economic shock; computed using an estimate of 25 percent above the poverty line (EC$ 6,357.50 per annum) as the criterion of vulnerability
50 ibid
further advance that “disasters have the tendency to exacerbate poverty and undermine development planning, particularly poverty reduction strategies”\(^5\). Thus, “addressing disaster risk reduction is inseparable from the broader sustainable development agenda.”\(^6\) For example, the interrelationship between poverty and disaster establishes the basis for the identification of potential threats of disaster related impacts to the welfare of the vulnerable segments of the population (e.g. the poor) and implicitly provides a means for addressing issues relevant to disaster management/disaster risk reduction, using the poverty reduction platforms.

### 5.2.4 Gender, youth and children

The variety of risks to which the various groups within the rubric of gender, youth and the elderly are exposed, further underscores their high level of vulnerability to the impacts of natural and man-made disasters. These risks which range from: unemployment, especially among the youth; household vulnerability associated with adult illiteracy, poor housing and sanitation facilities, and limited community organisational capacity and household assets to buffer against unforeseen events, tend to be associated with prevailing high levels of poverty and illiteracy. The level of exposure to such risks is more severe among the youth and the elderly population. For example, youth unemployment not only leads to loss in productivity but also to a higher prevalence of youth at risk\(^5\).

Notwithstanding growing trends in the empowerment of women and a seemingly marginalization of males within the country, it has been recognized that men and women are affected differently by socio-economic and cultural factors such as poverty, literacy, and that these may influence their respective roles in responding to the DRR phenomenon. In particular, women, given their multiple roles in society, may bring particular knowledge and experience to bear in adaptation to vulnerability in this regard\(^6\).

However, within the dynamics of gender, similar levels of vulnerability for males and females are being observed, though higher levels of indigence was reported for poor men in at least one community, as residual employment tended to favour females. Inequities in the distribution and access to health services amongst the various population age groups are also noteworthy.

It has been shown, that initiatives aimed at social protection are vital to help to build partnerships with organizations involved with gender, vulnerable populations and disaster risk

\(^5\) From UN Secretary General Ban-ki-Moon’s address to meeting leading up to Rio+20
\(^6\) Nassir Abdulaziz Al-Nasser, address to meeting leading up to Rio+20
\(^5\) The Social Protection and Poverty Reduction in the Caribbean, St. Lucia Country Review. 2004
\(^4\) Worthy of note, is that 2012 International Day for Disaster Reduction (GA resolution 64/200 of 21 December 2009), places the spotlight on women and girls who play a key role in community DRR, by making their communities more resilient to disaster and climate risks and helping to protect development investments.
reduction. They also help create platforms for development of processes to create disaster resilience that are sensitive to gender and the at-risk/vulnerable populations in the society – children, youth, elderly.

5.3 Governance Structure

5.3.1 Political structure and organisation

Saint Lucia is one of the four Windward Islands and a member of the Organisation of Eastern Caribbean States (OECS) and the Caribbean Community (CARICOM). The island gained independence from Great Britain on February 22nd, 1979, but continues to maintain a Westminster style government with a constitution which guarantees human rights and civil liberties and a well established parliamentary democracy. The country has a multi-party structure, dominated by two political parties, with elections constitutionally due every five (5) years. Its bicameral parliament comprises the Senate or Upper House comprising 11 members and the House of Assembly comprising 17 district representatives elected by popular vote from single-member constituencies.

The Head of State of Saint Lucia is the Governor General, who represents the British Monarch. The Administrative arm of Government comprises the Office of the Prime Minister, the Office of the Attorney General and fourteen line Ministries. The current Prime Minister, the Honourable Dr. Kenny D. Anthony, leader of the Saint Lucia Labour Party, was sworn into office following the country’s general elections in November 2011. Whereas the Ministerial Portfolios and configurations of the Ministries undergo changes based on decisions of the Prime Minister, certain key portfolios of relevance to Disaster Risk Reduction include Office of the Prime Minister – National Emergency Management Organisation (NEMO), finance, sustainable development, physical development, legal affairs, infrastructure, agriculture, tourism, health, gender relations, education, commerce and business development. In fact, given the all-encompassing nature of DRR, all the ministries will in some measure play a role in ensuring the effective integration of a DRR approach in national development.

5.3.2. Local government and levels of decentralisation

Local government in Saint Lucia is founded upon the Constitution of 1979, which makes reference to the concept of local government but does not specify how local government should be organized. However, a green paper issued in 2000 outlined the importance of citizen

---

55 Six members appointed on the advice of the Prime Minister, three on the advice of the Leader of the opposition, and two following consultation with religious, economic and social groups
56 Listing of Ministerial Portfolios can be found at [www.stlucia.gov.lc/](http://www.stlucia.gov.lc/)
participation and devolvement of functions to local authorities, though this was not followed by a subsequent White Paper and/or local government legislation.

For administrative purposes Saint Lucia is divided into 11 districts positioned below the central government, comprising the council of the capital city of Castries, three town and six village councils. These district councils form the main local government institutions in the community/turnship. City, town and village councils have been appointed by central Government ever since local elections were postponed in 1979. The responsibility for the appointment of council members falls under the Ministry with responsibility for Local Government.

A process for the reform of Local Government recommenced in 2006, with a view to restoring elected local government. To date, no formal Act has been passed in this regard. However, following national elections in 2011, developments in the sphere of local government have seen the appointment of members to the various district (city/town/village) councils in 2012.

The restoration of elected local government remains an important item for the local agenda in the pursuit of improved local governance, particularly with regard to resourcing local government with the investment capacity and competence to provide adequate support, including institutions, infrastructure and services and regulations for reducing risks from disasters.

5.3.3. Coordination mechanisms between State and non-governmental actors

Several mechanisms have been established at the national level to facilitate an interface between state and non-state actors to manage aspects of the national development agenda. The Disaster Management Act (2006) established the National Emergency Management Advisory Committee (NEMAC), comprising representatives primarily from public/state agencies, with provision for inclusion of other persons and organizations at the advice of the Minister with responsibility for Disaster management. It also provides for the establishment of other committees and sub-committees charged with particular responsibilities, at the directive of the Director of NEMO. Non-state actors such as community-based organisations (CBOs) and the small number of Non-Governmental Organisations (NGOs) are also active participants within the national disaster preparedness and response mechanism, as well as within the environmental management framework.

Other coordination mechanisms have been created at the national level with more broad-based representation across public and non-state actors, especially in the sphere of environmental management, such as the Coastal Zone Management Advisory Committee (CZMAC), Climate Change Coordinating Committee (CCCC), and National Biodiversity Coordinating Committee.
The Environmental Management Act (2008) also established a National Environmental Commission (NEC) as an overarching agency for environmental management.

The potential of the non-state actors, for mainstreaming DRR practices for a resilient economy is however, largely untapped as the mechanisms for linkages between national level and community level actions are under-developed.

5.4 Development Context

5.4.1 National Development Priorities

Saint Lucia’s development agenda is guided by a number of national policy imperatives and instruments, including the country’s Medium Term Development Strategy (MTDS), annual Budget Speeches, the Annual Estimates of Expenditure (Budget) and the Corporate Plans of individual Ministries, and influenced by the international and regional context for DRR.

The country’s Medium Term Development Strategy (MTDS), for the period 2006-2011, focused on exploiting opportunities for sustained growth and development and the restructuring and repositioning of the economy for successful integration within an increasingly liberalized international and regional trading environment, in particular the CARICOM Single Market and Economy (CSME) and the OECS Economic Union. The development priorities described in the MTDS are aimed at halting any further decline in the agricultural sector, and encourage agriculture and wider economic diversification; provision of an enabling environment to foster increasing returns to the local economy through effective linkages; encourage education and human resource development; support the emerging informatics industry and financial services sector; and also encourage the adoption of appropriate technology as a means of achieving greater efficiency.57

Initially, this broad-based Strategy/Plan did not address in a substantive manner the issue of disaster vulnerability and DRR. However, the need to incorporate these considerations in the development planning process became quite apparent in the aftermath of Hurricane Tomas in 2010. To this end, a National Reconstruction and Development Unit (NRDU) was established with a view to strengthening the institutional framework necessary to accelerate the implementation of the developmental plans outlined in the National Vision Plan and related projects.

The development priorities of Saint Lucia provide opportunities for widening the scope of socio-economic and environmental sustainability to incorporate considerations for disaster vulnerability and DRR. While the country’s vulnerability to disasters is projected to be exacerbated by climate change, the future vulnerability of Saint Lucia will also depend on its development path. In this context mainstreaming of DRR and adaptation to Climate Change into development policies, plans and programs now becomes a pre-condition for sustainable development.

57 GOSL Socio-Economic Review, 2011
Saint Lucia, like most of the other member countries of the OECD continue to report increasing trends in economic losses due to disasters from natural hazards such as hurricanes and climate related extreme events (these affect population, the environment and ultimately the economy). The island is also quite susceptible to the vagaries of international trade, exogenous economic and financial shocks. Hence economic loss risks can be further increased as a result of economic development pathways. The need to implement risk management strategies aimed at adaptation to climate change and reducing the impacts of disasters is thus critical for protecting health and safety, in terms of human life, livelihoods and the country’s natural resources.

5.4.2 Financial analysis of public investment in DRR

Information on public investment in DRR has not been disaggregated. However, information from key capital projects undertaken with regard to mitigation activities was used as a means to measure the level of public investment in DRR.

Over the last five years, capital investments through World Bank have been used to fund projects such as the Emergency Recovery & Disaster Management Project (ERDMP) to the sum of XCD 0.49 million, and the Second Disaster Management Project (SDMP), in the sum of XCD 2.53 million. These have been primarily physical prevention and mitigation works, and strengthening emergency preparedness and early warning systems (See Table xx in Annex). The current Disaster Vulnerability Reduction Project (DVRP) aims to measurably reduce vulnerability to natural hazards and the adverse impacts of climate change in Saint Lucia. The major outcomes expected from the project include: (i) capacity built to identify and monitor climate risk at the national level; and (ii) reduced vulnerability of key sectors, assets and people to natural disasters, which will have national benefits, with IDA funding of USD 6-10 million.

While there is some measure of institutional commitment for investment in DRR, the allocations made by national agencies other than the NEMO, are not considered dedicated DRR resources, and only address some DRR related concerns. Further, these are neither comprehensive nor substantial in nature.

5.4.3 Knowledge and Information

There have been several initiatives undertaken on many fronts at the national level, by the NEMO to increase awareness and understanding about disaster risk reduction and resilience building. Public education and outreach (PEO) has spanned a range of target audiences including public and private sector agencies, CBO’s, CDOs, and children and schools, among others. A range of tools and communication strategies have also been used in order to engender behavioural change.

The NEMO also has established procedures for the exchange of relevant information during hazard events and disasters, and to undertake post-event reviews, such as a national Damage Assessment and Needs Analysis (DANA) Committee which feeds into the eighteen district level DANA committees, each of which are responsible for making the required assessments at the district level, pre and post disaster events.
One of the most significant activities to date to promote information sharing and networking has been the introduction of the GeoNode, a data sharing platform established at the national level, under the PPCR project.

One of the major issues though, with regard to the effectiveness of PEO relates to access to information across the various levels of the society. Information generation tends to be project driven and as a result data and information is retained within the domain of the sector/agencies with responsibility for the specific project. Further, there are no formal mechanisms to promote information sharing and networking, particularly with regard to key DRR related parameters required for decision support systems pertaining to research and systematic observation (RSO), monitoring and evaluation and dissemination of data and information to undertake proper risk analysis and to engender the behavioural change necessary for the deployment of appropriate DRR measures.

Harmonised and standardised information management systems incorporating appropriate ICT and GIS tools need to be developed at the local level, and to be supported by other means for promoting information management at the OECS and CARICOM level. This would facilitate the generation of information such as multi-hazard maps based on more appropriate models; events mapping to validate the same; detailed digital elevation models (DEMs); up-to-date land use maps and proposed development plans to inform decision-making with regard to risk management and the validity and practicality of DRR interventions.

Further, public education and outreach activities need to be organized and conducted in a structured and programmatic way, with clear objectives, targets and goals that deliberately pursue behavioural change, and with greater use being made of experts in the fields of information and communication.

6. THE DRR LEGAL REGULATORY AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

6.1. Legal Framework

6.1.1. National and Provincial Constitution

The Saint Lucia Constitution (Order 1978) and the Emergency Powers (Disaster) Act of 1995, vest the authority for declaring a State of Emergency in the Governor General. When a State of Emergency exists, the Governor General is authorised to formulate orders under the provisions of the Ordinance to secure essentials of life to a particular zone, and for the preservation of the health, welfare and safety of the public.

58 http://www.preventionweb.net/files/8454_constitution.pdf [PDF 71.06 KB]
59 http://www.preventionweb.net/files/8454_5of95.pdf [PDF 142.25 KB]

The CDERA model legislation was assented to in Saint Lucia on August 7, 2000. The Disaster Management Act\textsuperscript{60} (DMA) enacted in 2006, subsequently formed the foundation of the legislative framework for disaster management in the country. It provides the laws and regulations that establish the legal authority for the development and implementation of the disaster management programme and organization, and defines the emergency powers, authorities, and the responsibilities of the various parties.

Disaster management and/or DRR related provisions are also defined in some of the legislative instruments in sectors such as health and education, and cross cutting sectors such as water, national security, in most cases driven by international obligations. The remit of the Disaster Management Act, also covers provisions for the application of treaties and international agreements. However, this is specific to times of disaster or emergency, during which the Governor General can proclaim it to be part of the law.

The DMA is currently being reviewed to incorporate issues related to Comprehensive Disaster Management, Climate Change, Mass Crowd Events and the articles of incorporation of the Caribbean Disaster Emergency Management Agency (CDEMA). Provisions for these types of issues are already covered under the DMA, with focus being the development of appropriate regulations to give form to how these issues are addressed within the existing legislation.

Other key legislation of importance to the DRR framework included the Physical Planning and Development Act, Land Conservation and Improvement Act, Forest, Soils and Water Conservation Act. Work is also underway on the finalisation of an Environmental Management Act (EMA) to incorporate principles of climate change adaptation (CCA). Given the inextricable link between DRR and land development and CCA approaches, the EMA presents a major avenue for deriving synergies between DRR and the physical planning and development, and environmental legislative framework.

The emerging context for DRR, also defines other key considerations in pursuing these enhancements to the DMA, which include the country’s recent commitments under the HFA, as well as new and emerging DRR related issues, such as tsunamis, maritime emergency, CBRNE, coastal management, biodiversity and invasive species, SLM and forest management, among others, to ensure adherence to international obligations. Other national and sectoral legislation which have implications for DRR will also need to be revised to adequately to incorporate the aforementioned issues, to ensure a complementary and harmonised legislative framework.

\textsuperscript{60} http://www.preventionweb.net/files/8454_Disaster.Management.Act.pdf [PDF 243.57 KB]
6.2 Normative Framework

6.2.1. Normative Instruments for DRR

The major normative instruments that guide the definition of responsibilities of national and sub-national authorities engaged in DRR are the National Emergency Management Plan (NEMP)\(^{61}\) and the CDM Strategy. The NEMP was first adopted by the Cabinet of Ministers in 1996, with subsequent revisions in 2007 and 2009 and further inclusions in subsequent years following annual reviews. It provides guidelines for national coordination of all resources, and the operations and functions of all agencies involved in emergency management as they relate to mitigation, preparedness, response and recovery, and is the official reference in any emergency situation associated with natural or human-induced disasters including technological incidents, on the island. It also describes the overall responsibilities of the National Emergency Management Organisation [NEMO] and the role of all concerned sectors, and sets out the administrative mechanisms for carrying out the country’s disaster management plan. The areas of prevention and mitigation are not fully developed in this Management Plan, though they are referred to in the Annexes to the plan.

The most recent revision of the National Emergency Management Plan (2006)\(^{62}\) comprises the six classes of documents, namely:

1. General guidelines
2. Nine (9) Policy Documents
3. Seven (7) Guideline Documents
5. Twenty-six (26) National Emergency Plans
6. Seven (7) Sector Response Plans

These various documents of the NEMP provide the basis for the regulation of activities and the rationalization of local, national, regional and international resources as well as to inform other development planning regulations in this regard.

Also of importance are some key related regulations such as the draft Physical Planning Regulations under the Physical Planning and Development Act; national building codes/standards, and draft Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, which all have significant implications for DRR as it relates to development planning. Climate change adaptation (CCA) initiatives, such as the development of Engineering Guidelines for incorporating Climate Change into the Determination of Wind Forces to inform national building codes and standards, are also quite germane to the DRR framework.

Important to note also, are emerging trends in international and regional standards and regulations for operations within certain sectors such as agriculture, tourism, education and health, which have a stipulated requirements for disaster risk management such as Sector Disaster Management Plans, Emergency Plans, agricultural health and food safety risks management

\(^{61}\) The DMA makes provision for the development of regulations to give effect to the purposes of the Act, and for the purpose of implementing the National Emergency and Disaster Response Plan.

\(^{62}\) The National Plan and its sections and subsections can be accessed on the Government of Saint Lucia Official Website: [http://www.stlucia.gov.lc/nemp](http://www.stlucia.gov.lc/nemp)
systems, tourism safety standards, etc. These standards and regulations can also be viewed as risk drivers, and are therefore, critical for the planning, prevention and mitigation aspects of DRR.

6.2.2. Public Policy
Saint Lucia has an extensive policy framework to guide national action on a wide range of DRR, and related issues. The process for policy formulation in the country has been an iterative one with policies formulated specific to areas and sectors. However, there has been attempts to address policy formulation in a more holistic way in the area of environmental management, which began with a Legal and Institutional Review of Environmental Management commissioned in 2001 to guide future expansion and strengthening of the legal, policy and institutional arrangements for the for environmental management.

National policies specific to the issue of DRR are outlined in the NEMP and include, policies for Damage Assessment and Needs Analysis [DANA]. Disaster Management Policy Framework, Donations and Importation of Relief Supplies, Emergency Shelter Management, Emergency Housing, Governmental Officers Security of Travel, Mass Fatality and Hazard Mitigation. With the exception of the Hazard Mitigation Policy, all the mentioned policies have a strong focus on disaster preparedness and response, with limited reference to the planning, mitigation and prevention components of DRR. The Hazard Mitigation Policy is anchored in the concept sustainable development and demonstrates a commitment to a broader and more long-term development process. Emphasis is placed on developing communities, building institutions and capabilities to reduce vulnerability.

There are also a number of other public and sectoral policies which implicitly address the concept of DRR outlined in the NEMP. Of particular note are the National Environmental Policy and Strategy (NEP/NEMs), National Water Policy, National Land Policy, and the National Climate Change Policy and Adaptation Plan which addresses the issue of hazards associated with global climate change.

The country now requires the harmonization of the current policy mix, so that DRR principles are integrated and find coherence across the spectrum of governance, planning, education, livelihoods (e.g. agriculture and tourism), health and environment.

The HFA progress report (2007-2009) for Saint Lucia also notes the inconsistency in policy commitment and supporting resources for policy implementation. The report quotes that “notwithstanding the expressed commitment to DRR, ‘buy-in’ by the policy makers still appears to be a challenge, as the required level of resources (human, financial & equipment) needed for effective actualization of the DRR policies is grossly inadequate.” This may be due to what is viewed as more acute needs taking precedence over DRR concerns in the order of national priorities.

6.3. Institutional Framework
The institutional framework for national disaster management in Saint Lucia is defined under the DMA. The DMA places the responsibility for disaster management under the Saint Lucia National
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Disaster Management Office (NDMO), which is a separate Government Department within the Office of the Prime Minister, called the National Emergency Management Organisation (NEMO). The DMA vests the authority of Chairperson of the NDO in the Prime Minister, who has powers to declare a national emergency under the Act, and to activate the National Emergency Management Plan. The NEMO is headed by a national disaster coordinator (NDC), Director- NEMO, which is a paid, full time position reporting to the Prime Minister.

The National Emergency Management Organisation (NEMO) is supported by a network of volunteers comprising ten (10) National Disaster Committees and eighteen (18) District Disaster Management Committees, operating under the jurisdiction of the NEMO, and involved in the planning and response against disasters. A similar structure is established at the district level with every district having a distinct EOC. The NEMO organizational structure also allows for the involvement of the national Community Development Officers to act as Ex-Officio members of the District Disaster Management Committees. The Local Government Councils are also integral to the functioning of the District Committees.

6.3.1. Institutional Structure of DRR - National

The national structure for disaster management is illustrated in Figure 6.
The roles and functions of the various national actors in the DRM framework are also summarised in Figure 7.

**Figure 7. National Actors and Roles in Disaster Management in Saint Lucia**

The **NEMO Secretariat** serves as the coordinating unit under the jurisdiction of the Office of the Prime Minister and is responsible for handling all the financial and operational aspects and also provides overall management and coordination for all disaster management related activities. Staffing within the NEMO Secretariat currently comprises seven (7) full time staff, 3 technical and 4 non-technical staff. The organizational structure and operations of the NEMO are described in the NEMP.

The physical facility of the NEMO provides dedicated office space for executive officers and clerical and support staff with space allocated for training. During an emergency, the facility transforms into the National Emergency Operations Centre (NEOC), with the functions of all essential services and key ministries and national agencies coordinated there. Ministries or sectors are also represented in the National EOC but at the same time co-ordinate several organisations/areas within the ministry/sector.

Of particular relevance to the DRR institutional framework is the National Hazard Mitigation Council (NHMC), established to guide technical decisions with regard to hazard mitigation in terms of assessing vulnerability and reducing risk. The Council is chaired by the Minister of Works, and comprises membership of key sector Ministries and representatives of the Chamber of Commerce, Insurance Council, SLRC and NEMO Chairperson of the Emergency Works Committee. Ex Officio members of the NHMC include the Director – NEMO, Chair - National Climate Change Committee, Chair - Agriculture, Environment and Natural Resources Committee...
of the National Council for Science and Technology for Development and Chairs all Technical Working Groups. The objectives and operations of the NHMC are fully articulated in the NHM Policy document.

The existing DRR structure comprising the NEMAC, NHMC, other national/sectoral committees at the national level, and community level/district level disaster organisations have served to create the kind of institutional framework required for coordination and collaboration among agencies on DRR issues. However, there are still some issues to be addressed regarding critical gaps and overlaps in institutional responsibilities, insufficient collaboration among public sector agencies, a high degree of fragmentation of authority and roles among the wide range of agencies with DRR mandates and insufficient resources for DRR. Hence, issues of capacity, custody, authority and accountability of agencies with DRR mandates, and in particular as they relate to the NEMO, will have to be rationalized. In addition, arrangements to foster co-management and participatory approaches in various types of partnerships\(^{63}\) will need to be clearly defined.

### 6.3.2 Multisectoral Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction

The existing governance structure for disaster management is particularly noteworthy, as it integrates all levels national, sectoral and community. Several government ministries/agencies are represented within the NEMO, including Finance and Planning, Health, Education, Welfare, Environment, Agriculture, Housing, Public Works, Tourism, Information, Defense and Security, Justice and Transportation; though these agencies do not form part of the NDO.

All disaster-related activities are advised by the Saint Lucia National Emergency Management Advisory Committee (NEMAC). The NEMAC is a technical working group comprising line ministries, non-governmental organizations, representatives from the private sector and is chaired by the Office of the Prime Minister – Cabinet Secretary. The NEMAC has an open membership and calls upon different expertise as and when needed. It convenes at regular intervals and on demand to receive updates on the Emergency Planning process.

Although the NEMO leads the Disaster Management charge with the support of most Agencies and the participation of all sectors through the NEMAC, a DRR approach on a national multi-sectoral platform is not apparent. The potential for the advancement of DRR principles across a national multi-sectoral platform is however, promising considering opportunities for broadening the functions of mechanisms such as the NEMAC and the NEC, the overarching agency for environmental management.

---

\(^{63}\) Private-public, private-private and private-community partnerships.
6.3.3 Local Networking Groups drivers and risk management

The NEMO structure promotes active networking through the National Emergency Operations Center (EOC) and 18 District EOC’s, which exist to support the response to disasters at the national and district level. The structure also facilitates deeper networking as it allows for the involvement of the national Community Development Officers in Ex-Officio roles on the District Disaster Management Committees. The Local Government Councils are also integral to the functioning of the District Disaster Management Committees.

Urban Search and Rescue (SAR) teams also exist within the Saint Lucia Fire Service and Marine SAR Teams within the Police Marine Unit. Most Schools, Churches and some Community Public Buildings are used as Emergency Shelters. The Saint Lucia Red Cross (SLRC) is also a key networking partner at the local level, with regard to risk identification and management.

There is still need however, to provide these groups operating at the local level with a strategic framework and improved management structures to better align DRR priorities and approaches to disaster risk management at the community level with that at the national level.

6.3.4. Budgetary resources for the development of disaster risk reduction activities

The NDMO, the NEMO Secretariat, is financed under a separate budget head in approved national estimates of expenditure. Activities within the programmed framework of the NEMO are financed from the NEMO Secretariat’s yearly work programming budget. Other support agencies such as the Ministry of Physical Development and the Ministry of Infrastructure also have budgetary allocations which would indirectly address many DRR concerns; these amounts are not dis-aggregated. A National Disaster Fund has been established in Saint Lucia. However, the mechanisms to have this fund fully functional are still to be implemented.

The approved 2012-2013 budget for the NEMO was XCD 671,260.00. More than ninety percent of this budget pertains to expenditure to sustain the current operations of the organisation, including personal emoluments and wages, travel and subsistence, utilities, operating and maintenance, communication and insurance. There is no allocation for real investment in DRR activities.

Bilateral, regional and international development partner programs provide various sources of funding for particular activities. For example, several disaster risk reduction activities to date have been funded through agencies such as the World Bank, which has funded disaster mitigation activities through the projects managed by the national government. Community level activities have also been funded by donors such as JICA, FAO, and GEF small grants in the case of community projects to correct problems identified through the VCA process conducted by the SLRC. The country as signatory to the CDEMA also benefits from financial contributions from this
Agency. Funds are also allocated at the regional level through the Caribbean Electric Utilities Services Corporation (CARILEC) [a Caribbean Association of Electricity Companies] to cater for post disaster reconstruction of the electricity network.

With regard to risk transfer, general insurance coverage for Hurricane and Seismic impacts has been secured under the Caribbean Catastrophic Risk Insurance Scheme (CCrif)\(^\text{64}\).

### 6.4 National Development Plan in Relation to DRR

As indicated under Section 5.4.1, National Development Priorities, the national development plan as defined in the country’s Medium Term Development Strategy (MTDS), though very broad-based in its response to the myriad of development issues, does not address in a substantive manner the issue of disaster vulnerability and DRR. The criticality of the need to incorporate these considerations in the development planning process has been brought to the fore by the spate of natural hazard events over the last several years, and in particular Hurricane Tomas in 2010, which have resulted in loss of lives, loss of national assets, and tremendous damage to property and livelihoods, and funding that could support ongoing development initiatives being diverted to relief and recovery efforts.

#### 6.4.1. DRR National Plan/Disaster Risk Management

A national disaster management framework for the country was established as far back as the early 1980s guided by a National Disaster Plan, which later evolved into the Saint Lucia National Emergency Management Plan (NEMP).

The National Development Plan on the other hand, is defined in the country’s Medium Term Development Strategy (MTDS). As previously indicated the current MTDS, does not address in a substantive manner the issue of disaster vulnerability and DRR. However, the National Emergency Management Plan (NEMP) purposes to outline preparedness, prevention mitigation and response activities within the national framework, and the organisational and functional mechanisms and procedures required for carrying out the national disaster management programme.

While the NEMP has not developed fully the areas of disaster prevention and mitigation, it outlines clearly the commitment of the GOSL to disaster preparedness, prevention, mitigation and effective response, to encompass the full spectrum of DRR. The Plan also highlights the responsibility of the NEMO for the coordination of risk reduction programmes and evaluation of effectiveness of risk reduction activities.

The country’s Comprehensive Disaster Management (CDM) Strategy and Programming Framework for the period, 2012 – 2017 has gone further, and addresses the key elements of the DRR conceptual framework described in the UNISDR definition, namely, (i) risk awareness and

\(^{64}\text{Caribbean Catastrophic Risk Insurane Facility http://www.ccrif.org/}\)
assessment, (ii) knowledge development, (iii) public commitment and institutional frameworks and (iv) early warning systems. The overall focus of the national CDM strategy is the building of resilience at national and community level to respond to and cope with hazard impacts. In this regard, concrete resources would be sought to expand and replicate several on-going best practices throughout the region. The thematic areas selected for priority attention reflect a strong DRR approach including: hazard mapping and vulnerability assessment; flood management; community disaster planning; early warning systems; climate change adaptation; and knowledge enhancement.

Further, the national Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan (2006) recognises the inextricable linkages between DRR and climate change adaptation (CCA), particularly with regard to similarities in hazard mitigation measures and CCA measures, especially for sea level rise and severe hurricane events. While the implementation mechanism for the NHMP is yet to be fully elaborated, it is envisaged that the existing framework for disaster management, with its demonstrated successes, can be built on and extended to fully integrate environmental management, and in particular CCA, as mechanisms for integrating DRR principles into the country’s sustainable development framework.

6.5 Reflections on the Legal, Regulatory, Institutional and Management Capacity

The policy, legal, regulatory and institutional framework governing DRR is quite varied and mixed, reflecting a highly evolved governance framework, though with a strong orientation to disaster preparedness, response and recovery, and lesser emphasis on disaster planning, prevention and mitigation. Seemingly, the existing legal instruments have found limited national-level application and enforcement, especially in the face of inadequate monitoring for compliance. This is probably due to the absence of more specific and targeted regulations required for supporting, and giving form to the existing legislation, as well as the insufficiency of resources for monitoring and regulating compliance. In addition, despite the reasonably well-developed institutional framework for DRR, the structure and capacity for managing risk is still underdeveloped, with regards to authority or capacity to influence decisions related to national development planning and investment in DRR.

A legal, regulatory, and institutional framework, infused with a culture of accountability, will be required for effective governance and service delivery with regard to DRR. A key accountability measure in this regard, is the extent to which a government is able to address the risk of poorly planned and managed urbanization, environmental degradation, and poverty. Access to information, particularly information on disaster risks, will also generate a social demand for disaster risk management. Adequate national legislation will also be needed to promote the introduction of, and monitor compliance with, monitoring and accountability mechanisms. This will serve to improve policy coherence, enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of resources.

65 Provisions for Hazard Inspectors under Part IX, Miscellaneous Section of the DMA, have not been effected due to a lack of resources.
minimize duplication and contradictory policies, deal with tradeoffs, and reduce the sensitivity of development activities to current and future climate related and other disasters.\textsuperscript{66}

The need for proper parliamentary oversight and national auditing systems cannot be underscored. The scale and range of internal and international accountability mechanisms has not been fully explored, nor the potential for such mechanisms to be applied to the field of disaster risk reduction. Accountability measures can guide government and public awareness of, and support for, disaster risk reduction policies.

There is also growing recognition among stakeholders about the need for government to pursue effective disaster risk reduction policy, planning and implementation that is transparent and fully participatory. This of course will require fully developed coordinated and coherent action on disaster risk reduction across different sectors, public and private sector, and between central and local governments. Such a holistic approach will ensure optimum use of human, technical and financial resources, to expand avenues for implementation of DRR initiatives. The NEC, in its function as a mechanism for national coordination and collaboration among public and private agencies for the management of environmental issues, presents a platform for broadening this pillar of sustainable development to include DRR issues, through the integration of the existing NEMAC coordinating mechanism and as well as those at the community level. Most important would be the establishment of information systems and management structures to facilitate effective and organization, coordination and collaboration, and the development of human and financial capacity at all levels, national sectoral, and community levels to understand and reduce risks.

7. STATE OF DISASTER RISK IN SAINT LUCIA

7.1 Conditions of Risk in Country

As countries use various approaches and concepts, the definition for reference on disaster risk for the purposes of this exercise is that developed by the UNISDR: “The potential disaster losses, in lives, health status, livelihoods, assets and services, which could occur to a particular community or a society over some specified future time period.”

The national documentation on DRR is replete with documents emanating from reviews and assessments including, the National Hazard Mitigation Policy, the Risk Management/ Vulnerability Benchmarking Tool (BTool) Report for Saint Lucia\textsuperscript{67}, the CEDEMA DM Audit Country Report for Saint Lucia (2010) that have identified to some extent, conditions of risk for Saint Lucia.


These assessments all corroborate that Saint Lucia, like many other Caribbean SIDS, due to its geographic location, relatively small size; open economy with a negative balance of trade; limited natural resource base; limited human capacity and resources; and limited technological capability, is also highly susceptible to the vagaries of international trade, exogenous economic and financial shocks. Safety and security issues are now high on the global and regional agendas and so need to be elevated on the national agenda. Terrorism, biosecurity, food security, and the environmental health and security implication of “open borders” are but some of the concerns. In this regard, he enhanced CDM strategy goes further to emphasise “growing attention” to technological or human induced hazards, and the need for a stronger multi-hazard approach and a broadening of concern beyond natural events, particularly hurricanes.

7.2 Historical Disaster Analysis

While there is no historical record regarding the establishment of an office to deal with disasters, anecdotal evidence suggests that a desk was initially set up to deal with disasters sometime in the early 1980’s, and by 1990 there was an established Office of Disaster Preparedness, under the supervision of a National Disaster Coordinator. A formal historical compilation on disasters generated from the information from this office has been made in the Saint Lucia Disaster Catalogue, which was first produced in 1999. The catalogue continues to be updated as events occur. The DesInventar database was developed in 1994 by the Network for Social Studies in Disaster Prevention in Latin America http://www.desinventar.org to provide a mechanism for the formal historical recording and analysis of disaster events. To date, the database continues to be accessed by the national focal point but has not been utilized in practice in the country, largely due to an inadequate system for data collection.

The national catalogue categorises events based on time period and type of event, and includes data and information regarding date of the event, brief description of the event, number of persons killed, number left homeless, costs and comments. However, data for some of the parameters, in particular costs, is incomplete. A summary of type of disaster events and frequency of occurrence during the three time periods is presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Summary of Disaster Events and Frequency of Occurrence in Saint Lucia 1700 -2000’s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Event</th>
<th>1700-1800’s</th>
<th>1900’s</th>
<th>2000’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of Events</td>
<td>Loss of Lives</td>
<td>No. of Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthquake</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landslide</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1509</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil Spill</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The listing of the history making events during the 1700 – 1800 range from hurricanes, earthquakes, health epidemic and civil unrest; and in the 1900’s: range from hurricanes, storms, fires, earthquakes, landslides, mass casualty (air, sea and terrestrial), pestilence and civil unrest. Further, analysis of the data from the Catalogue reveals a decreasing trend in the occurrence of certain types of disasters such as fires, while there has been an increasing trend in the occurrence of disasters such as hurricanes/storms/floods. The data however, show a decreasing trend in loss of lives for these events. Changes in the type of medical emergencies are also noted, from deadly epidemics such as yellow fever and cholera to less impacting dengue and influenza.

Data from other sources corroborate that historically, hurricanes, storms and flooding have been the most likely hazards to affect Saint Lucia. Tropical Storm Debbie in 1994, resulted in losses over EC$230 million. The Tropical Wave of October 1996 also incurred an estimated EC$12 million in damages to property and infrastructure particularly in Soufrière, Anse la Raye, Vieux Fort and Castries. Tropical Storm Lili-damage in 2002 was estimated at $20 million. Flooding has been of major concern particularly in low-lying areas as well as coastal villages and has resulted in the displacement of people and the destruction of property in communities. Droughts have also affected the quantity and quality of available water supplies to local communities, and also productive sectors of the economy. About eight (8) major landslippages, have also resulted in significant loss of lives (the 1938 Ravine Poisson Landslide) and the destruction of homes, dislocation of approximately 145 families, loss of biodiversity particularly from landslides at La Sorcière, and costs totaling over two million Eastern Caribbean Dollars (EC$2M). Recent assessments also bear out the issue of the increasing cost of disaster events, related to loss of lives and property, human injury, destroyed tourism and general infrastructure, crops and livestock, and household and commercial and industrial assets, such as the colossal losses from Hurricane Tomas in October 2010.

Since the last major eruption of the Soufriere volcano over 200 years ago, volcanic activity has been latent. However, seismic activity has been ongoing, with the island experiencing at least five swarms of shallow earthquakes over the last hundred years, occurring in 1906, 1986, 1990, 1999, and 2000 (Seismic Research Unit, 2002), though many of the tremors have been insignificant; some strong shocks have been recorded, with one of magnitude 7.5 as recent as November, 2007. The history of Saint Lucia is also replete with development marred by fires, most notable being the 1948 Castries Fire. The 1854 Cholera Epidemic also demonstrates the occurrence of health related disasters.

---

70 Damage Assessment and Needs Analysis (DANA) Policy (2007) and UN-ECLAC Damage and Loss Assessments (DaLA)
71 Damage and loss assessed at over USD$500 Million for Hurricane Tomas, 2010.
7.3. Hazards\textsuperscript{72} /Threats

Hazard Background Information

Hazard analysis and experience have confirmed that Saint Lucia by virtue of its geographic location, physical formation and fragile ecosystems is at risk from a number of natural, technological and human-induced hazards. Additionally, the island is at risk to “slow on-set” hazards that include droughts, plagues, and the effects of global climate change. These hazards have the potential to cause loss of lives, severe damage to infrastructure and other economic assets, as well as cause adverse effects on livelihoods. Some of the natural hazards include hurricanes, flooding, landslides, seismic and volcanic activity (Figure 8). Technological and human-induced hazards include explosions, oil and hazardous material spills, mass casualty, civil unrest, fires and information and communication technology disruptions. Tropical storms and hurricanes are also frequently accompanied by storm surges, floods and landslides, which give rise to soil, beach and/or coastal erosion.

The categorization of hazards found in the Saint Lucia National Risk Register (2006)\textsuperscript{73}, has been used to describe the range of hazards to which the country is exposed. A synopsis of these hazards is presented in the following sub-sections.

7.3.1 Naturally occurring

7.3.1.1 Type geodynamic/geo hazard

The National Risk Register (2006) identifies 3 sub-categories of this hazard/threat for the island: Earthquake; Volcanic eruption and Tsunami.

- **Earthquake** sub-categories include ground vibration, liquefaction and ground rupture (unlikely for Saint Lucia). Other threats under this sub-category include secondary effects.

\textsuperscript{72} “Natural hazard: natural process or phenomenon that may cause loss of life, injury or other health impacts, property damage, loss of livelihoods and services, social and economic disruption, or environmental damage”. UNISDR Terminology, 2009.

\textsuperscript{73} Modeled on the Sussex Local Resilience Forum: Community Risk Register of 14th November 2005

Figure 8: Integrated Volcanic Hazard Zone Map
Source: Seismic Research Centre
common to a number of other hazards such as fires, landslides etc., which may result due to the ground vibration effects.

- **Volcanic activity** include emissions, and seismic related geophysical processes, such as mass movements, landslides, rockslides and surface collapses, debris or mudslides, pyroclastic flows, surges, ashfall, mudflows for the volcanic threat; [secondary effects such as landslides, the pyroclastic flows and surges would actually cause damage through various mechanisms such as their physical impact, heat (so therefore, fires) etc.]. The integrated volcanic hazard zone map developed by the Seismic Research Centre at UWI (Figure 8), demonstrates the condition of risk for volcanic hazard in Saint Lucia.

- **Tsunami**: a series of ocean waves generated by sudden displacements in the sea floor, landslides, or volcanic activity. In the deep ocean, the tsunami wave may only be a few inches high. The tsunami wave may come gently ashore or may increase in height to become a fast moving wall of turbulent water several meters high\(^7\). Tsunamis in the Caribbean region fall into two categories: teletsunamis, triggered by earthquakes along faults off the coast of Portugal, and tsunamis generated by earthquakes along the faults of the Caribbean Plate boundaries.

### 7.3.1.2 Type hydro- meteorological

Phenomena included under this type of hazard include tropical cyclones (hurricanes and tropical storms associated with high winds), thunderstorms, coastal storm surges, heavy rainfall resulting in floods including flash floods, drought, heat waves and cold spells, effects of El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO), and La Niña. In the Caribbean, rainfall is influenced by the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and fluctuations in other large scale climate systems. An El Niño episode generally brings with it warmer and drier conditions, while La Niña brings colder wetter conditions, hence the reason for significant inter-annual variability and anomalies. Five sub-categories under a classification of severe weather were created within the National Risk Register for these types of phenomena.

Landslide hazard is mapped in **Figure 9**. This shows that most of the island is subject to at least moderate landslide hazard, with only a strip of land from Choiseul to Vieux Fort and small pockets of flat land around the remainder of the

\(^7\) National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)
island subject to low landslide hazard. Much of the island’s road infrastructure traverses areas of high or extreme landslide hazard. Further, communities with limited road access are particularly vulnerable to isolation following landslide events.

7.3.2 Anthropogenic/ Human-Induced

7.3.2.1 Type socio-natural hazards

These types of hazards are generally associated with high population density in urban areas, where the effects of human activities can result in inadequate basic-service provision such as supply disruptions with fuel, water, electricity, communications and retail supplies; health with regard to inadequate emergency response cover, education, etc.

The National Risk Register covers these types of hazards under the categories of civil unrest (and related consequences such as internal displacement and migrations), industrial action (withdrawal of labour)/staff shortage, public safety and security, with regard to mass crowd gatherings, supply disruption, structural emergency and transport. Each category is further broken down into sub-categories that manifest the hazard at the micro/ site specific level. For example sub-categories under transport include: airport crash, sinking of vessels, collision of vessels at sea, major vehicular accidents on road network, incident on Road Tunnel, crash transporting hazardous material, crash transporting radioactive materials. Most of the human-induced hazards are likely to result in disaster in the form of mass casualty.

Deforestation is also another aspect of this type of hazard that can lead to land degradation and exacerbate climate change related disaster impacts.

7.3.2.2 Type Technological Hazards

These types of hazards are considered in the context of both intentional and unplanned. These stem from CBRNE: chemical, biological, radiation, nuclear and environmental pollution from fires, explosions, toxic spills, bioterrorism, mining and oil/gas extraction in highly sensitive environmental areas, among others. Pollution from unexploded arms and munitions are also considered under this hazard.

The national Risk Register identifies three main related categories in this regard and sub-categories of each. The three categories include environmental pollution/infestation, fire/explosion and terrorism.
7.3.3 Health Emergency Hazards

These types of hazards are associated with illnesses and epidemics of major occurrence in humans, but also in animals and plants/phytosanitary. Examples include: avian flu, traditional and haemorrhagic, malaria, yellow fever, cholera, among others. The National Risk Register identifies Animal health diseases – both zoonotic and non-zoonotic, with recent epidemics such as dengue and influenza.

7.3.4 Links to Climate Change

Current and future climate predictions for Saint Lucia indicate increased frequencies of extreme/severe weather events. These events are anticipated to both have negative impacts and exacerbate the impacts of other hazards. Hydro-meteorological factors are considered important contributors to some of the processes that give rise to geological threats. Hence increase in incidence of hydro-meteorological events, with the advent of climate change, is likely to bring about increase incidence of these hazards.

Similarly, climate related health impacts, including vector and waterborne diseases are expected to become more prevalent with climate change.

All of the anticipated impacts are likely to trigger some form of disaster that have cross cutting, multi-sectoral impacts, causing major social, economic and environmental stresses. Alleviation of these adverse impacts can however be pursued through appropriate and timely integration of CC adaptation measures into the DRR rubric.

7.4 Vulnerability

The plethora of documentation on disaster management widely endorse that Saint Lucia, like most Caribbean SIDS, is highly prone to devastating natural and anthropogenic/human-induced disasters. Its vulnerability can be attributed to antecedent conditions determined by physical, social, economic, environmental and political factors and processes that increase the susceptibility and exposure of a community to hazards.

7.4.1 Definition of the analysis criteria and methodology

Except for the case of the vulnerability and adaptation (V&A) assessments for the Second National Communication (2010) on Climate Change for Saint Lucia, criteria and methodologies for analysis of vulnerability have been very general and at a national scale, and hence not clearly defined for specific disaster hazards/risks.
The main methodology for analysis of vulnerability with regard to disaster risks has been the Vulnerability Assessment Benchmarking Tool (B-Tool) developed by the OECS Secretariat. The B-Tool is a quantitative assessment tool which evaluates capacities in the six phases of risk management, namely (i) risk identification, (ii) risk mitigation, (iii) risk transfer, (iv) disaster preparedness, (v) emergency response and (vi) rehabilitation and construction. The B-Tool provides an index as indicator of the relative strength and weakness of DRR initiatives in country.

Community level Vulnerability and Capacity Assessments (VCAs) have also been coordinated by the SLRC and involve the application of criteria and methodology outlined in a pre-designed Community Disaster Response Team (CDRT) field guide, which are based largely on the criteria and methodology used for Damage Assessment and Needs Analysis (DANA).

Both the CDEMA Disaster Management (CDM) Audit and the HFA Monitor utilise a more qualitative assessment methodology. Key indicators are however, proposed by the HFA or the HFA Monitor that enable assessment of progress made in DRR in the country.75

The methodology provided by the Climate Studies Group Mona76 for the vulnerability and adaptation (V&A) assessments for the Second National Communication (2010) on Climate Change for Saint Lucia, qualitatively analyses vulnerability of each sector, using primarily an outcome approach77, while seeking to integrate the vulnerability approach78. The V&A assessments were conducted on a sectoral basis, hence criteria for impact assessment were sector-specific and were aligned to key thematic areas in the sector. The application of a scenario approach also provided a useful tool for assessing the extent of the country’s vulnerability from large to small degrees of changes in climate. This approach is particularly important for an island such as Saint Lucia, whose economy, and by extension, its socio-political structures, is largely natural resource-dependent, and therefore, likely to be directly affected by changes in climate parameters. This approach is also quite applicable to other types of disaster related events.

It is therefore, imperative that Saint Lucia continues the process of vulnerability/impact assessments particularly with regard to DRR in ongoing and projected development programmes, plans and projects, using appropriate structured and systematic assessment methods. This is necessary if appropriate DRR and climate change adaptation options are to be developed and the impacts minimised with regard to changes in the external environment.

7.4.2 Components of Vulnerability

The variety of risks prevailing at both the macro level and micro-level all contribute to the varying facets of the vulnerability of the island, key of which are outlined below.

76 Climate Studies Group Mona, Department of Physics, University of the West Indies, Mona Campus
77 An outcome approach to analysing vulnerability is best suited to the well defined, closed-system impacts/issues, identified for each sector.
78 This type of vulnerability assessments are centred around technologically focused adaption and mitigation strategies.
**Physical:** The island’s small geographical area, accounts for the fact that disasters take country-wide proportions; (b) its location in some of the highest risk areas of the planet, such as mid-ocean ridges with strong volcanic and seismic activity, tropical cyclone belts, and direct exposure to the forces of the oceans, account for high vulnerability to a wide range of natural hazards.

**Social:** The changing social landscape with regard to population growth and demographic changes have given rise to increased conflict for available resources and increase vulnerability to human-induced disasters; Poverty and unemployment further exacerbate vulnerability to the impacts of hazards resulting oftentimes in the escalation of situations of disaster. In most cases, the poor are most vulnerable. Cultural norms and mores were once an integral part of disaster risk mitigation. However, there has been a decline in the use and application of traditional practices, and as a result a loss of traditional knowledge and inadequate human capacity to implement DRR.

**Economic:** The fact that the island is dependent on few sources of income, in the key productive sectors, in particular tourism and agriculture for a substantial part of its gross domestic product (GDP), increases its vulnerability as these sources of income can been severely reduced for months by a single catastrophic event. Another critical factor which highlights Saint Lucia’s vulnerability is its lack of financial capacity to reactivate the development process following major disaster events. The challenges posed by global externalities such as trade liberalization, commodity price fluctuations, rising cost of food and fuel and the effects of the global financial crisis, further increase economic vulnerability.

**Environmental:** The island is characterized by fragile ecosystems and most development imperatives often lead to conflict over environmental conservation and protection.

**Political:** Lack of demonstrated political leadership in seeking to integrate DRR into the development agenda by creating the appropriate governance structures and financing mechanisms often precludes any possibility of developing and implementing meaningful disaster-mitigation and CC adaptation programmes.

### 7.4.3. Analysis of Vulnerability: Fragility, Exposure, Resilience

The World Conference on DRR in 2005, recognized that ‘risk is not only associated with the occurrence of intense physical phenomenon but also with the vulnerability conditions that favor or facilitate disaster when such phenomenon occur. Vulnerability is intimately related to social processes in disaster prone areas and is usually related to the fragility, susceptibility or lack of resilience of the population when faced with different hazards’.

---

In Saint Lucia, poor land use planning and associated squatter developments, deforestation and developments in disaster prone areas have exacerbated vulnerabilities, while the absence of approved building codes and standards has resulted in a housing stock prone to damage by floods, landslides and high winds. Notably, key economic activities, and associated infrastructure such as airports, sea ports, fuel storage and hotels, as well as critical infrastructure such as hospitals, schools and security services tend to be concentrated along the coast and these have been deemed highly vulnerable to the impacts of extreme climate related events such as rainfall variability, land degradation and storm events. The island also suffers from a water deficit in some areas and proposed national development plans which involve large tourism developments, among others will exacerbate vulnerability with regard to water security. Tourism activity and poorly planned housing developments also threaten important marine and terrestrial ecosystems, thus eroding the resilience of natural systems to the impacts of the various natural and human-induced hazards, thus likely to add to the economic vulnerability of the island as a whole.

The extent of Saint Lucia’s vulnerability to disasters and the impacts of climate change and variability is influenced by several drivers which are largely socio-economic and ecological in nature. The development of governance structures for managing risk across the three pillars of sustainable development, that is, economic, social and environment, is an imperative, though a major challenge for the GOSL.

**Vulnerable Sectors**

The Vulnerability and Adaptation (V&A) assessments conducted during the Second National Communications (SNC) highlighted the vulnerabilities to climate hazards across the critical sectors of; water resources; land resources; agriculture; coastal sector; marine resources; forest terrestrial resources; health; financial services; and critical infrastructure.

Climate Change Adaptation (CCA) strategies and measures have also been proposed for these critical sectors of the economy in the Saint Lucia SNC V&A exercise, with major costs associated with upgrading of critical infrastructure and public sector assets such as health care centres, hospitals government offices, among others. For, a small island with a small population, these financing needs for climate change adaptation alone are quite substantial. Hence creating a strategic framework for disaster risk reduction that integrates CCA will allow for better leveraging in mobilising the necessary financing and more effective investment in risk management.

**Vulnerable Areas/Regions**

The Disaster Preparedness and Response Act # 13 of 2000 gives particular focus to addressing specifically the issue of *Specially Vulnerable Areas*, requiring that the NEMO, the National Hazard
Mitigation Council (NHMC) and particularly the Ministry with responsibility for Physical Planning and Development, work together to establish where these vulnerable areas exist in Saint Lucia and delimit them considering the different types of hazards. Special Enforcement Areas have also been declared under the Physical Planning and Development Act. Vulnerability mapping data for two hazards, flood and drought (precipitation change) are available in the Sector Reports for the SNC\textsuperscript{80}, and illustrate potentially vulnerable zones/areas in Saint Lucia. Knowledge of these areas will allow for better development planning that considers risk and vulnerability in order to implement adequate mitigation measures.

Drought vulnerable regions are indicated over more than 20% of the island, in the north, south east and southern parts of the island and in areas of land use ranging from forest to agriculture to residential/commercial.

Three flood hazard zones (high, medium & low) were identified\textsuperscript{81}, on a medium-scaled map delineating important settlements, road networks and the catchments contributing runoff to the hazard zones are shown on the map. Most of the island was categorised at low risk to flood hazard. Areas deemed as high risk to flood hazard include the coastal plain north of Hewanorra Airport, that is the flat lands on eastern and north eastern sides\textsuperscript{*}, areas on the western side of the island - Roseau river flood plain.\textsuperscript{*} Some of the detailed map assessment also showed that within the city of Castries, the north-central and eastern streets are most prone to flooding.

The island-wide flood hazard maps identify likely regions to be flooded for extreme rainfall & give a mean depth of flood waters within regions. They do not provide detailed information of flood water levels or velocities and cannot provide locations of specific hazardous places. Further, they cannot incorporate detailed hydraulic properties of drainage facilities in the region. Notwithstanding these limitations, these maps can still be quite useful.

\textbf{Vulnerable Groups}

Though some work has also been done on mapping the spatial dimensions of some hazards (flooding, drought, landslides) and the 2005/2006 Survey of Living Conditions points to locations of various vulnerable groups (female headed households, children under the age of 14 years and the elderly), there is still need however, to map the social dimensions of these vulnerable populations/groups to help reinforce better decision making and more targeted

\textsuperscript{80} GOSL, 2010. Lucia’s Second National Communication on Climate Change: Vulnerability and Adaptation Assessment, Agriculture.

\textsuperscript{81} Opadeya, Vincent Cooper & Jacob
Vulnerable populations are defined by one expert group as follows: “People who cannot comfortably or safely access and use the standard resources offered in disaster preparedness, relief and recovery. They may include people with sensory impairments (blind, deaf, hard-of-hearing); cognitive disorders; mobility limitations; limited English comprehension or non-English speaking; as well as people who are geographically or culturally isolated, medically or chemically dependent, or homeless.”  

Programming. Research done by the CDCP illustrates the importance of disaggregated vulnerability and capacity assessments by gender and other vulnerable groups. The research shows for example, that older adults may have impaired mobility, diminished sensory awareness, multiple chronic health conditions, and social and economic limitations—all of which can impair their ability to prepare for, respond to, and adapt during emergencies.

There has been ongoing progress with regard to the generation of information on hazards and vulnerability. Some limited national and local assessments based on hazard data and vulnerability information are available, and include risk assessments for key sectors. However, there are still no systems in place to monitor, archive and disseminate data on key hazards and vulnerabilities and the absence of a comprehensive national multi hazard database / archive with protocols for information sharing impede the use of mechanisms to inform the local and regional DRR effort. Mechanisms for the systemic research, recording and analysis of the hazards which have impacted Saint Lucia and the impacts of these hazards also need to be established.

There is also need to generate gender disaggregated disaster impact data at the community level, so that special care is taken to meet the needs of both women and men in a disaster event (e.g. gender sensitive shelter management policy, etc.). Risk mapping of vulnerable groups will also help to profile each of these groups within the context of the vulnerable situations in which they live and to identify their coping and adaptive capacities. The mapping will also help to identify hotspots of high vulnerability in Saint Lucia.

### 7.5 Capacity Building

The Pan American Health Organisation (PAHO) since 2004 cited well developed capabilities for disaster management in Saint Lucia, with very active participation from all stakeholders.

---

The level of progress reached in developing institutional and community capacity for DRR has been assessed using various assessment tools, and reported on in the BTool Country Assessment Report for Saint Lucia (2006) and the CDM Audit (2010) Country Report for Saint Lucia and HFA Progress Reports. The results of the B-Tool Assessment (2006) summarised in terms of the relative adequacy of capacity within the six phases of risk management is presented in Table 4 below.

Table 5. Summary Results of Saint Lucia B-Tool National Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phases of Risk Management</th>
<th>Total Number of Questions (TNQ)</th>
<th>Maximum Attainable Score (MAS)</th>
<th>Total Score</th>
<th>Percentage Score (RMI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk Identification</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Mitigation</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Transfer</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaster Preparedness</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Response</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation and Reconstruction</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>1347</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Risk Management Benchmarking Tool (B-Tool). National Assessment for Saint Lucia, 2006

The risk management index (RMI)\(^{83}\), calculated as a percentage score from the quantitative assessment methodology used for the evaluation of capacities in the six phases of risk management, serves as an indicator of the relative strength and weakness of DRR initiatives in each of the phases. At the time of assessment, the indices computed indicate reasonably well developed capacity for disaster preparedness and emergency response, a lesser degree of capacity development in areas of risk mitigation and risk identification, and limited capacity development for risk transfer and rehabilitation and reconstruction.

The national CDM Strategy (2009) emphasises capacity building in areas that address governments, particular ministries, National Disaster Organisations, National Disaster Management Offices, and other key local, national and regional institutions, and include a variety of skills sets, with governance, knowledge management and sharing, awareness and promotion, and the links to economic and sustainable development key among them.

The CDM 2010 Audit Report for the country provides a qualitative account of the institutional and community capacity for DRM. The information gleaned from the report describes organization and management capacity that is reasonably well developed at the institutional and community level. This is further supported by a broad legislative framework. The report however, highlights limitations in human capacity and capacity for mitigation and research.

The self-assessment or progress report on implementation of the HFA undertaken at the country level, uses as one of the key priority action areas/indicators for the HFA, that of community

\(^{83}\) Risk Management Index = Total Score/Maximum Attainable Score - RMI\(_i\) = \([TSi / MAS i]\)
participation and decentralisation through the delegation of authority. In this area, the progress report for 2009-2011 highlights a substantial advancement in the organizational structure of the NEMO, as well as the associated legislation which facilitates a fully participatory approach to DRR that involves district disaster committees and local government councils. The report also defines the level of progress reached in capacity development for various national agencies and highlights areas for further capacity building, such as Policy, legislative and fiscal regimes, data and information management including capacity for research and systematic observation (e.g. pest and disease surveillance systems for agriculture and public health), and meteorological and hydrological monitoring (early warning systems at community level e.g. Tsunami).

There is growing recognition that the development of disaster risk reduction capacity is the concern of an entire society rather than of any single agency, area of professional discipline or stakeholder group. In view of the fact that DRM programmes are multi-disciplinary and multi-faceted, the country need to have access to capacity development programmes that would enhance its capacity to undertake many of the technical tasks required for DRR implementation.

Developing institutional and community capacity at all levels (systemic, institutional and individual), including expanding the information and knowledge base, in terms of up to date scientific information, local knowledge and expertise, still remains a key requirement for DRR. In particular, the areas of policy harmonization and institutional strengthening, with regard to a science base of information for validating, monitoring and linking DRR with sustainable development using appropriate indicators of DRR, requires improvement. Capacity to undertake predictive analysis is also another area to be addressed and would require, proper instrumentation for data collection, data management, including the development or expansion of computerised databases.

The above mentioned are all consistent with the capacity building initiatives elaborated as outputs under the 4 outcomes of the national CDM Strategy which relate to:

i) Enhanced institutional support for CDM program implementation at national and regional levels

ii) An effective mechanism and programme for management and sharing of CDM knowledge is established and utilised for decision making;

iii) Disaster risk management mainstreamed at national level and incorporated into key economic sectors (tourism, health, agriculture, etc.);

iv) Enhanced community resilience to mitigate and respond to the adverse effects of climate change and disaster.

### 7.5.1 Mapping institutions and levels of coordination

The various institutions and levels of coordination in the national structure for DRR have been mapped in Figures 6 and Figure 7 under Section 7.4.1 National Structure for DRR, which highlights the extent of collaboration across the range of DRR stakeholders: public and private sectors, scientific and technical institutions, community based organizations, universities, United...
Nations agencies, international cooperation agencies, Red Cross and Red Crescent societies, nongovernmental organizations, DIPECHO partners, among others.

Section 7.4. Institutional Framework for DRR, describes the leading role of the National Emergency Management Organisation (NEMO), which is a collaboration of Government, Non Government, Faith Based Organisations, Civil Society and many other citizenry groups and individuals, collectively responsible for having the country in a state of preparedness in case of an emergency; also for responding to the needs of the country after a disaster and co-ordinating the response at local, regional and international levels. The NEMO Secretariat functions as part of the Office of the Prime Minister (Cabinet Secretary) in the Ministry of Finance and Economic Affairs. DRR implementation is also within the remit of many government ministries.

The wider framework for comprehensive disaster management (CDM) depicted in Figure 9, further illustrates the interconnectedness of the regional and national structures for DRM.

![Figure 9. Comprehensive Disaster Management Framework](source)

Regional co-operation in disaster risk reduction is manifested by the country’s membership and/or participation in the following regional mechanisms including Caribbean Disaster Emergency Response Agency (CDEMA); Pan-American Health Organization (PAHO), Regional Security System (RSS); Medical evacuation MOU with Martinique, Monitoring support from the Seismic Monitoring Unit in Trinidad. The country also participates in regional programs and projects with DRR themes undertaken by regional and international agencies such as the Organization of Eastern Caribbean States (OECS), the Caribbean Development Bank (CDB), the United Nations Development Program (UNDP), the Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA), etc. that foster collaboration and shared learning.
Table 10 in the Report Annex describes the levels of coordination for the various other institutions and agencies that currently have DRR related mandates in Saint Lucia, and highlights political administrative entities and the strategic partners involved.

The principal issue at this time appears to be how to effectively link international, regional and national actions to existing and projected resource support. At the national level, the challenge is that of incorporating disaster risk reduction principles in development planning, decision-making and programme or project design so as to increase the likelihood of access to technical assistance programmes. It is proposed that this can be advanced through the pursuit of improved governance structures that provide a strategic framework for DRR principles to be taken into account into urban and rural planning and development activities, with dedicated disaster management structures at national, sectoral and community level, as course of action for building resilience.

Best practice already exhibited within the existing governance structure for disaster management, provides a sound platform for an enhanced structure for more effective governance for DRR.

7.5.2 Mapping programs, initiatives and plans for DRR

Several initiatives e.g. programmes, plans, projects, application measures, have been undertaken at the local, national and regional level with regard to DRR, that is measures used to minimise vulnerabilities and disaster risks and avoid (prevention) or to limit (mitigation and preparedness) the adverse impacts of hazards. Summary descriptions of these initiatives, programmes and plans are furnished in Table xx in the Annex.

Regional programmes and initiatives such as Caribbean Disaster Emergency Management Agency (CDEMA) Comprehensive Disaster Management Framework, Caribbean Open Trade Support Program (COTS), the OECS Disaster Response and Risk Reduction Programme, CDM Harmonised Implementation Programme (Phase 1), and Mainstreaming Disaster Risk Management in the OECS, have given specific focus to building national and community level resilience to disasters to contribute to sustainable development.

At the national level, GOSL programmes and projects such as the Emergency Recovery & Disaster Management Project (ERDMP), Second Disaster Management Project (SDMP), and Disaster Vulnerability Reduction Project (DVRP) all aim to measurably reduce vulnerability to natural hazards and the adverse impacts of climate change in Saint Lucia. Activities involved significant physical prevention and mitigation works, and strengthening emergency preparedness and early warning systems, as well as training and capacity development for community based disaster management organizations, shelter managers and the staff of the NMS. The NEMO also continues to develop and revise national emergency management plans for specific hazards and sectoral/agency multi-hazard plans. A Disaster Recovery Policy and Plan is also currently being
developed, aimed at integrating Disaster Risk Reduction measures into post disaster recovery and rehabilitation processes.

At the community level the NEMO has also facilitated project funded by JICA for flood early warning systems. The Saint Lucia Red Cross (SLRC), which has collaborated through DIPECHO funded projects, in the development of model disaster plans for schools and assisted in the design of the first set of disaster programmes at the community level. More recently (2010-2012), the SLRC has worked with about 10 communities, with and without NEMO groups, to undertake vulnerability and capacity assessments (VCA). Through this process, there has been capacity building at the community level for hazard identification and for undertaking small-scale, community-level, hazard mitigation measures. The FAO has also undertaken projects aimed at resilience building in the agriculture sector, with outputs such as disaster resilient green houses and water harvesting systems for mitigating the impacts of climate change, such as drought.

The National Meteorological Services is participating in a regional project coordinated by Caribbean Institute for Meteorology and Hydrology (CIMH), enhancing resilience to reduce vulnerability in the Caribbean – ERC Project, to create a platform to assist with decision-making and enhance early warning systems.

More recently, Saint Lucia has identified under its SPCR (2010), CCA and DRR activities which include measures aimed at hard core adaptation such as coastal management (reef restoration, mangrove restoration, beach re-development, etc.), building rehabilitation and retrofitting, slope stabilisation and re-afforestation, soft adaptation such as improving data and information management systems for strengthening Research and Systematic Observation (RSO) and Data and Information Acquisition and Knowledge Management for CCA, in particular, the Saint Lucia Integrated National GeoNode” (SLING)\(^4\). There has also been some adaptive research work undertaken on CC impacts and adaptation to climate change by the SDED in conjunction with other regional and international agencies that aims to improve the scientific basis for impacts/adaptation assessment and decision making. This includes evaluation of fitness for purpose of climate model data, climate downscaling, development of novel methods for assessment of impacts of climate change, especially biodiversity and water resources, and adaptation, with a focus on robust decision making and challenges posed by large climate changes. Further, the establishment of appropriate legislative and fiscal incentives and regimes to encourage the adoption of climate change adaptation/resilience building measures.

These initiatives reflect a growing commitment to multi-hazard mitigation, and will contribute in no small measure to the DRR process at the regional, national and local level.

\(^4\) See: [http://sling.gosl.gov.lc](http://sling.gosl.gov.lc); an open access platform for access, management and publication of geospatial data for informed decision making. SLING allows national ministries and agencies to populate, catalog, view, and share data on a central depository. For the first time in Saint Lucia, GIS users, such as land planners and engineers, will be able to access, share, and apply data for disaster risk management applications such as land use maps, engineering designs, and risk assessments.
7.5.3 Inventory of tools

The “Methodological Guide on the Systematization of Tools for Disaster Risk Management” defines tools as “a guide, a product or instrument that stemmed from a project, initiative, programme, experience or intervention, and that serves to improve capacity to design, develop or implement actions to manage disaster risk”.

A preliminary compilation of an inventory of DRR tools for Saint Lucia is presented in Table 6. The classification for the types of tools in the compilation is based on the list of tools and resources selected for the B-Tool assessment for Saint Lucia, and which were identified as being necessary to effectively and efficiently manage the six components of disaster risk management activities.

Table 6. Preliminary Compilation of Inventory of DRR Tools for Saint Lucia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of DRR Tools/Resources</th>
<th>Specific Tools/Resources for DRR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policies and Plans</td>
<td>National Hazard Mitigation Policy and Plan National Emergency Management Plan with specific hazard management plans, sector disaster management plans, and agency contingency and continuity of operations plans National Climate Change Policy and Adaptation Plan Strategic Plan for Climate Resilience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge and Information</td>
<td>Public education and outreach (PEO) programmes for public and private sector agencies, CBO’s, CDOs, children and schools. Communication strategies to engender behavioural change. Procedures for the exchange of relevant information during hazard events and disasters, and to undertake post-event reviews – DANA/DaLA Virtual libraries such as the Caribbean Disaster Information Centre – CARDIN of the University of West Indies / DRR Centre and national and regional platforms for DRR and PreventionWeb Decision support systems such as GIS maps,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Type of DRR Tools/Resources** | **Specific Tools/Resources for DRR**
--- | ---
Saint Lucia Integrated National GeoNode” (SLING) | an open access platform for access, management and publication of geospatial data for informed decision making

**Monitoring and Evaluation Tools**

- **Risk Management/Vulnerability Benchmarking Tool (B-Tool)**
  - Developed by the OECS Enhanced Comprehensive Disaster Management (CDM) Strategy monitored, evaluated and reported on using the Results Based Management (RBM) framework
  - Periodic assessments conducted by CDERA sometimes targeting specific activities or capabilities, such as Emergency Operations Centers (EOC) preparedness
  - HFA Progress Reports
  - “HFA Monitor**: an online tool, developed by the UNISDR to enable governments to easily capture the information on progress in HFA, generated through a multi-stakeholder review process

**DRM Products**

- **Sector Vulnerability and Assessment Reports for Climate Change**
- Drought and flood hazard maps for the island
- Volcanic atlas for the sub-region
- Caribbean Marine Atlas
- Outputs of various investigative studies undertaken, especially with regard to disaster management, climate change, biodiversity and environment.

Many of the available DRR tools and resources have been validated at the regional, sub-regional and national level and are generally accessible. However, they tend to be utilised on an ad hoc basis. For example, knowledge and information tools have not been used effectively to ensure the accessibility of information across the various levels of the society. Information generation tends to be project driven and as a result data and information is retained within the domain of the sector/agencies with responsibility for the specific project, and with no formal mechanisms to promote information sharing and networking.

---


87 HFA Monitor is accessible on the web at: [http://www.preventionweb.net/english/hyogo/hfa-monitoring](http://www.preventionweb.net/english/hyogo/hfa-monitoring)
There is therefore, need for the application of these tools and resources to be undertaken in an organized, structured and programmatic way, for informed decision-making with regard development planning and policy making, public education and outreach, and the validity and suitability of DRR interventions. In addition, many of these tools require regular updating with regard to up-to-date, data and information. Harmonisation and standardisation of information systems and other ICT protocols at the regional, national and local level also needs to be pursued. Multi-hazard maps based on more appropriate models; events mapping to validate the same; detailed digital elevation models (DEMs); up-to-date land use maps and proposed development plans are also necessary for informed decision-making with regard to risk management and validity and practicality of DRR interventions.

Greater use also has to be made of experts in the fields of information and communication for the development of more applicable tools and resources. Coherence in DRR related national policies and plans is also required to promote the systematic incorporation of risk reduction approaches into the design and implementation of emergency preparedness, response, mitigation and recovery and reconstruction programmes.

7.6 Reduction of Underlying Risk Factors

The HFA progress reports to date have shown a growing concern for reducing disaster risk, with significant progress made against the objectives, goals and priorities of the Hyogo Framework for Action. One example is the measurable progress in the reduction in the number of deaths linked to hydro-meteorological hazards as a result of better understanding and improved preparedness and early warning systems. However, while there has been real progress made in early warning, preparedness and response; there remains an urgent need to address underlying risk drivers and investment in DRM particularly at sectoral and community level.

The following identifies some components, based on the basic indicators of the HFA Monitor, which are considered important in reducing the underlying risk factors.

- Disaster risk reduction made an integral objective of environment related policies and plans, including for land use natural resource management and adaptation to climate change.
- Social development policies and plans implemented to reduce the vulnerability of populations most at risk.
- Economic Planning and management of human settlements to incorporate disaster risk reduction elements, including enforcement of building codes.
- Disaster risk reduction measures integrated into post-disaster recovery and rehabilitation processes.
- Procedures in place to assess the disaster risk impacts of major development projects, especially infrastructure.
8. RISK ASSESSMENT FOR COUNTRY DRR

8.1 Defining criteria and analysis methodology

Defining criteria for disaster risk (DR) analysis/management is for the most part difficult, due to the lack of a comprehensive conceptual framework of disaster risk that facilitates DR evaluation and intervention from a multidisciplinary perspective. Most of the available indices and evaluation techniques are not capable of presenting risk in terms that are easily interpreted by the diverse types of decision-makers. Risk need to be made manifest in a manner that attracts the attention of the stakeholders, to make it feasible to move forward decidedly in the reduction of disasters.

There is therefore much difficulty in defining practical indicators and of identifying how these should be applied and who should be responsible. The issue of identification of indicators for risk assessment and monitoring progress in risk reduction is made even more complicated by political considerations, data availability and quality, resource availability, issues of scale, ownership and a host of other social, organizational and management concerns.

The country in keeping with the Disaster Preparedness and Response Act No. 13 of 2000 has developed a National Risk Register. There is also a Saint Lucia National Climate Change Risk Register, dated October 28, 2008. Of note, is that the National Risk Register was purposed to assist disaster responders in identifying potential significant risks threatening the performance of critical functions in the event of an emergency, which ought to enable them to focus resources in the right areas, and develop appropriate continuity strategies.

The methodology for formulating the register involved a literature review, which combined the identification of past events together with subjective hazard analysis within the context of the probability of a repeat event. Due to a lack of data on parameters needed to derive measurable risk management indicators, the use of qualitative indicators measured on subjective scales is unavoidable. Consequently, the weighting or considerations of many of the indices have been undertaken using expert opinion and informants at the national level. There has been little analysis using numerical techniques that are consistent from the theoretical and statistical perspectives.

---


89 Information provided in the document is deemed valid only at the date of publication as risks are intended to be monitored on an ongoing basis.
Criteria for risk management/vulnerability benchmarking as defined in the risk management framework adopted by the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB) (See Table 7) were utilised in the B-Tool Country Assessment (2007)\(^90\) as a context for assessing and evaluating risk.

**Table 7. Key Components of Risk Management – IDB/B-Tool**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-Disaster Phase</th>
<th>Post-Disaster Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. Risk Identification</strong></td>
<td><strong>E. Emergency Response</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Hazard assessment (frequency, magnitude, and location)</td>
<td>1. Humanitarian assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Vulnerability assessment (population and assets exposed)</td>
<td>2. Contingency planning (utility companies and public services)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Risk assessment (a function of hazard and vulnerability)</td>
<td>3. Damage assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Hazard monitoring and forecasting (mapping, and scenario building)</td>
<td>3. Revitalization for affected sectors (exports, tourism, and agriculture)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Risk Mitigation</strong></td>
<td><strong>F. Rehabilitation and reconstruction</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Physical and engineering mitigation works</td>
<td>1. Rehabilitation and reconstruction of damaged infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Land-use planning and building codes</td>
<td>2. Macroeconomic and budget management (stabilization and protection of social expenditures)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. Risk Transfer</strong></td>
<td><strong>D. Disaster Preparedness</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Insurance and reininsurance of public infrastructure and private assets</td>
<td>1. Early warning and communication systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Financial market instruments (catastrophe bonds and weather-indexed hedging funds)</td>
<td>2. Clean-up, temporary repairs, and restoration of services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Economic incentives for pro-mitigation behavior</strong></td>
<td><strong>4. Mobilization of recovery resources (public, multilateral, and insurance)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Privatization of public services with safety regulation (energy, water and transportation)</td>
<td>4. Mobilization of recovery resources (public, multilateral, and insurance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Education, training and awareness about risks and prevention</strong></td>
<td><strong>4. Incorporation of disaster mitigation components in reconstruction activities</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The methodology for the B-Tool assessment of risk involved the formulation of a series of management questions that aim to explore the adequacy and content of current disaster risk management tools and resources of the country in each of the six disaster management phases and the design of a scoring system. The questions were phrased to highlight best practices recommendations in disaster risk management activities. It contains a list of easily understood questions that were chosen through extensive review of risk management literature and broad-based consultation.

These and other methodologies and tools for technical support exist for risk assessment/analysis and those can facilitate effective DRR interventions at the local, municipal and national levels.

---

Reference is further made to the IDB system of Indicators of Disaster Risk and Risk Management, which moves toward a more analytically rigorous and data driven approach to risk management decision-making. However, at present, in-depth analysis using the IDB risk indicators cannot be readily pursued, due to a lack of data, as well as an inadequacy of skills for undertaking such analysis.

8.2 Definition of risk scenarios

Broad definitions of risk scenarios for the country have been attempted in the National Risk Register and the National Risk Register for Climate Change. Hazard/risk mapping also define geospatial scenarios for phenomena such as landslide, drought, and floods.

Risk scenarios for Climate Change however have been further defined for the country in the Saint Lucia Second National Communication (SNC) under the UNFCCC. The 4th Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) makes reference to a number of direct observations of recent changes in climate which are likely, to very likely, attributable to human influence. These include, among others, changes in wind patterns, rainfall distribution and air temperature. The climate baseline for Saint Lucia with regard to these parameters and which provides the platform for determining how the parameters thereof are influenced by climate change is provided in SNC. Outputs describing the current and future CC scenarios generated for the island during the formulation of the SNC are presented in Annex xx. The various scenarios or models used to define CC risks, have all demonstrated that mean temperatures in Saint Lucia are expected to increase markedly over the next century. The scenarios derived from the various models also describe a discernible shift in the precipitation climatology.

There is a distinct need to define risk scenarios for other non-climate related hazards, based on a holistic approach that will enable multi-hazard analysis and promote more effective, fit-for-purpose solutions/ interventions. Due consideration must be given also to the fact that risk is clearly most detailed at a micro social or location/site specific scale.

---

91 GOSL, 2009. Saint Lucia Second National Communication under the UNFCCC.
92 In the IPCC Summary for Policymakers, the following terms have been used to indicate the assessed likelihood, using expert judgment of an outcome or a result: Virtually certain > 99% probability of occurrence, Extremely likely > 95%. Very likely > 90%. Likely > 66%. More likely than not > 50%. Unlikely < 33%. Very unlikely < 10%. Extremely unlikely < 5%.
93 Saint Lucia Current Climate and Future Projections. Prepared by the Climate Studies Group (CSG) of the University of the West Indies (UWI), Mona Campus.
94 Global Climate Models (GCMs) and Regional Climate Model (RCM)
95 This will require Saint Lucia to adjust its building designs, using natural ventilation or energy efficient cooling systems.
8.3 Prioritization of risk scenarios and areas of intervention

Saint Lucia is a country with limited experience in the area of disaster risk analysis. Limited prioritization of risk has been attempted in the National Risk Register (2006), which identifies risk ratings for main hazards/threats for the country. Table 8 provides a summary of the main categories of hazards/threats identified with a brief description of the process or phenomenon and the risk rating for each threat/hazard.

Table 8. Main Categories of Hazards/Threats Identified for Saint Lucia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Emergency/Hazard</th>
<th>Category of Emergency/Hazard</th>
<th>Sub-Category of Emergency/Hazard</th>
<th>Risk Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Disaster and Severe Weather</td>
<td>Geodynamic/Geological hazards:</td>
<td>▪ Earthquakes, ▪ Volcanic activity ▪ Tsunamis, (Hydro-meteorological factors are important contributors to some of these processes,)</td>
<td>Medium, Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hydro-meteorological hazards:</td>
<td>▪ Hurricane high winds ▪ Coastal Flooding ▪ Flooding of Rivers/Streams ▪ Flash Flooding ▪ Extreme High Temperatures ▪ Drought</td>
<td>High, High, Low, Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropogenic/Man-made</td>
<td>Socio-natural hazards:</td>
<td>▪ Mass Casualty - Armed Conflict – consequences of internal migration and displacement Public safety and security Civil disturbance Supply disturbance Transport ▪ Deforestation ▪ Structural Emergency</td>
<td>Medium, Low – Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technological hazards:</td>
<td>▪ Fire and Explosion ▪ Environmental Pollution/Infestation Harmful release – CBRNE Toxic spills Oil/Gas extraction Illegal dumping ▪ Terrorism – bio-</td>
<td>Medium, Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unrated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hydro-meteorological hazards and health emergencies were given high risk ratings. Geodynamic/Geological and socio-natural hazards were rated between medium to low risk, with the exception of deforestation, which was rated a high risk hazard. Technological hazards were deemed to be medium risks, but terrorism was unrated, due to the sensitivity of this area.

In addition, the National Risk Register for Climate Change identify seven (7) potential hazards across nine (9) critical sectors as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate Change Impacts/Hazard Identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Storms/Hurricanes:</strong> Storm Surge Coastal Erosion High Winds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical Sectors:</strong> Agriculture Financial Forestry and Biodiversity Marine and Coastal Resources National Security</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Areas of intervention proposed in this regard as derived from the SNC process are elaborated in the SNC Report and include the enhancement of resilience at various levels of society, through tangible interventions, capacity development, education and awareness, research and knowledge management, efficient resource allocation and the coordination and integration of climate risk management considerations with socio-economic development policies and environmental conservation at the national and local scale.

The various assessments of risk management capacities also provide a basis for the identification of areas for intervention for reducing disaster risk and building resilience. Table 8 provides an empirical comparison of the various capacity assessments undertaken to date utilising both the quantitative and qualitative outputs for the various capacity areas/tools. The methodology for undertaking the comparative assessment involved a literature review, which combined the identification of key capacity areas/tools from the B-Tool Country Assessment Report (2006), CDM and HFA qualitative reporting, together with the qualitative analysis from stakeholder consultation during the process for elaboration of the CP-DRR.
The assessment ratings of High (H), Medium (M), and Low (L), are based on a subjective measure of resilience or adequacy of coping capacities at both the national and community level, with regard to level of organization, social networks, abilities, leadership, diversified economy, contingency plans and financial mechanisms, among other parameters.

Table 9. Comparison of Capacity Areas based on the Capacity Assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policies and plans</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards and regulations</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislation</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Capacity</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Resources</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Tasks and Contents</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Education and Awareness</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Development/Investments</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Arrangements</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment/Execution of Responsibilities</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder Participation</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Management</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring and Evaluation of Programmes</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective use of DRM Products</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The comparative assessment emphasizes the limitations in human capacity, technical tasks and contents, financial resources and information management, as determined by almost all of the assessments.

The HFA national reports, which commenced the biennial cycle of reporting in 2009, reveal that significant progress has been made in early warning, preparedness and response, with one notable effect of the HFA process being the bringing together of the many stakeholders in disaster risk reduction including national and local governments, parliamentary forums, inter-government organizations, non-government organizations, community-based organizations and practitioners, the private sector, academic and technical institutions, the media and international organizations. However, the reports note that the country is still struggling to address underlying risk drivers and vulnerability/resilience building issues and public awareness is still not adequately addressed. Further, there is still limited investment in DRM particularly at the sectoral and community level.

At the present time in Saint Lucia there is limited analytical basis for targeted policy and programme development for areas, sectors and populations most economically and socially at risk to disasters. However, the current broad level risk analysis, including the consideration of the
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96 “The ability of a system, community or society exposed to hazards to resist, absorb, accommodate to and recover from the effects of a hazard in a timely and efficient manner, including through the preservation and restoration of its essential basic structures and functions” (UNISDR 2009).
components of the IDB Risk indicators, can point to the aspects of DRR implementation that require efforts to improve and create an appropriate National DRR framework. Further, the country presents predominant conditions of high exposure and susceptibility, social fragility and lack of resilience that favour risk accumulation and incapacity to respond to disasters. It is also apparent that while the country’s risks from a macro-economic and financial perspective in terms of responding to catastrophic events may decrease, the country still does not have resources to face catastrophic events.

The Disaster Management Policy Framework approved by Cabinet in 2009, identified priorities for achieving the objectives of the Policy, that is to advance an approach to disaster management that focuses on reducing risks - the risk of loss of life, economic disruption and damage to the environment and property, especially to those sections of the population who are most vulnerable due to poverty and a general lack of resources. These priorities, which also point to areas for intervention, are consistent with those emanating from the B-Tool Assessments, SNC and HFA monitoring reports, and includes:

1. Timely, coordinated and focused direction of resources towards the disaster management system’s effective operation.
2. Maintaining institutions that are technically capable of efficiently executing the comprehensive disaster management programme.
3. Developing local expertise capable of operating and maintaining the disaster management system.
4. Ensuring that the public is well informed and educated about disasters, their consequences and preventive and mitigation measures.
5. Creating an environment in which the private and non-government sectors contribute meaningfully to the comprehensive disaster management effort.

In addition, the 2011 GAR highlights some key issues for consideration with regard to risks, under the section “Revealing risk: Visible trade-offs for informed choices”. These are:

- The sheer scale of recurrent and probable maximum losses should be enough to shock governments into action.
- Governments are liable for a significant part of total expected losses – and they rarely have the contingency financing to match this liability.
- Governments need to decide how much risk they are willing to retain and how much they can afford to transfer.
- A balanced portfolio of prospective, corrective and compensatory risk management strategies is the most cost-effective way to reduce disaster risks and support development.

The HFA has also assisted in making more explicit linkages between disaster risk reduction and managing climate-related risks and climate change adaptation. Hence, due consideration is also given to the Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change Special Report on Managing the Risk of Extremes and Disasters (IPCC/SREX) (Summary released in November 2011), which demonstrates that many measures to address natural hazard risk such as good land use planning, environmental protection and preparedness and early warning systems are also effective no-
One of the aims of adopting a DRR approach is to help countries transform to a sustainable disaster resilient development path. The evidence for Saint Lucia investing in DRR is clear as risks are increasing, as evidenced by the increase in occurrence and the demonstrable severe impacts of multiple disasters, with regard to rising socio-economic losses related to sharp rises in damage to housing and critical local infrastructure and public assets such as bridges, schools and health facilities, and the likelihood of further exacerbation by the impacts of climate change. The future vulnerability of Saint Lucia will also depend on its development path.

A national CDM strategy has been endorsed through high level consultations in country since 2001, but there seems to be limited progress in integrating disaster management into the planning process. One explanation advanced was that the required political leadership and technical capacity to implement the type of activities identified were inadequate to enable the requisite paradigm shift. The absence of “highly influential champions” for the process, especially within political directorates has prevented DRM from being elevated to the political agenda in the country. There is need therefore, to leverage new entry points for disaster risk reduction by taking advantage of the new directions for DRR in the country’s CDM Strategy, and integrating them into existing mechanisms, for development decision making and planning, including that for climate change adaptation.

Strategic directions for DRR implementation were charted coming out of the consultative process for the elaboration of the CP-DRR, based on the priorities identified in Section 9 and incorporating the findings of the GAR and recommendations emanating from the work of UNISDR on Making Cities Resilient. The compilation on climate change adaptation (CCA) measures and other relevant information in Saint Lucia’s SNC also highlighted a number of key areas where attention was required in building national capacity and improving the institutional and technical framework to facilitate cross-sectoral solutions for climate change impacts. All-encompassing strategic directions and guidelines are presented under the five elements of DRR in Table 9.
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Table 10. Strategic Directions and Guidelines for DRR in Saint Lucia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRR Elements</th>
<th>Strategic Direction</th>
<th>Strategic Guidelines/Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Risk Awareness and Assessment**  | Improve Information on how hazards are changing with detailed risk assessments from diverse sources of knowledge | • Enhance the national disaster inventory system to systematically monitor losses and assess risks at all scale using probabilistic models  
• Recover, adopt and enhance existing risk analysis methodologies  
• Develop country-appropriate Risk Indicators/Indices  
• Undertake risk mapping of social vulnerability  
• Conduct multi-hazard analysis and vulnerability and capacity assessments |
| **Knowledge Development**           | Build Adaptive Capacity: with new skills, knowledge and resources                    | • Enhance decision support systems such as GIS pertaining to research and systematic observation (RSO) for effective monitoring and evaluation and dissemination of spatial data and information  
• Generate spatial data and information on different disaster risk and vulnerability scenarios at national, regional and local/community level  
• Develop structured and targeted public education and outreach programmes with special focus on vulnerable groups, to provide information on disaster risks/vulnerabilities and measures to reduce risk and manage disasters to prompt behavioural change  
• Create appropriate mechanisms / platforms for sharing local and traditional knowledge and experiences |
<p>| <strong>Public Commitment and Institutional Frameworks</strong> | Empower and support communities to address root causes of vulnerability – | • Demonstrate political leadership and will by placing policy responsibility for DRR, including climate change |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRR Elements</th>
<th>Strategic Direction</th>
<th>Strategic Guidelines/Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Increase access to resources       | Promote environmentally sound development                | adaptation in a central ministry with (true/real) high level of political authority over national development;  
|                                    |                                                           | • Harmonisation of national and sectoral policies to achieve coherence in polices to stimulate and enforce DRR processes  
|                                    |                                                           | • Address legislative/ regulatory shortcomings  
|                                    |                                                           | • Support strengthening of sub-regional mechanisms in order to achieve the objectives of the HFA and the Regional Strategy for Disaster Risk Reduction  
|                                    |                                                           | • Regulate urban and local development with DRR instruments and mechanisms – e.g. building codes, land use planning, etc  
|                                    |                                                           | • Urgent integration of risk reduction strategies into all aspects of development planning and activities  
|                                    |                                                           | • Commit resources for the upkeep and upgrading of the NEMO and other components of the national disaster management framework for implementation of DRR functions  
|                                    |                                                           | • Build risk governance capacities through the institutionalisation of multi-sectoral, multi-stakeholder national/community platforms for DRR  
|                                    |                                                           | • Decentralise DRM functions through an incremental approach in shifting the culture of public administration to engage citizens and communities in local governance, with appropriate devolution of budgets, etc.  
<p>|                                    |                                                           | • Increase country investments in DRR through the allocation of a certain |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRR Elements</th>
<th>Strategic Direction</th>
<th>Strategic Guidelines/Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>percentage of the national budget and other revenue dedicated to DRR and incorporate in financial reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Continue to mobilise political support, and to advocate for international community, institutions and development partners to support the country’s efforts to institutionalize DRR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application Measures</th>
<th>Strategic Guidelines/Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorporation of hazard mitigation/resilience building measures in all public and private development planning initiatives, programmes and budgets.</td>
<td>• Use participatory planning and budgeting to upgrade informal settlements – identify and allocate safe land for low income citizens and promote safe building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Protect ecosystems and natural buffers to mitigate floods, storm surge and other hazards that country is vulnerable to: watershed management activities; reafforestation;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Enhance programmes for social protection such as temporary employment schemes, bundling of micro-insurance and loans, among others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Encourage economic development and alternative livelihoods in rural areas and smaller development areas (villages, districts) in order to reduce the pressure of accelerated migration to high-risk peripheral areas and informal settlements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• increase capital investment in infrastructure that reduces risk (e.g. flood drainage) and critical buildings,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Assess safety of schools, health facilities and other critical buildings and national assets, and upgrade through retrofitting, renovation and renewal where necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRR Elements</td>
<td>Strategic Direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Warning Systems</td>
<td>Improve forecasting, dissemination and preparedness measures and reaction capacities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 10. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- DRR implementation is cross-sectoral in nature and the impacts of poor development planning are usually manifested in effects on the country’s natural resources and the population. These impacts may however, be further exacerbated by the realities of indirect drivers emanating from physical, social, economic, environmental and political factors. At present, considerations for disaster prevention, mitigation or preparedness are not formally and routinely integrated into the appraisal of projects or programmes, particularly those financed by public investments in for example infrastructure, health and education. This suggests that costs for rehabilitation post disaster, simply recurs as opposed to the development of climate resilient projects.

- Findings of the 2011 Global Assessment Report for DRR (GAR, 2011) show that while great strides have been made in reducing loss of life, for at least weather related disasters, the reality remains that disaster-related socio-economic losses continue to rise. This is particularly so in developing countries where damage to housing and critical local infrastructure and public assets such bridges, schools and health facilities has risen sharply\(^98\). It is therefore apparent that the risk of losing wealth to natural disasters is beginning to outpace wealth creation, and as advocated, disaster risk reduction (DRR) must of need be incorporated in all sustainable development strategies\(^99\).

\(^98\) Over the past three decades, the risk of economic loss as a result of floods rose by over 160 per cent, while economic loss incurred as a result of cyclones surged by 265 per cent in member countries of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD. UN, 2011. 2011 Global Assessment Report (GAR) on Disaster risk reduction (DRR) – Revealing Risks, Redefining Development.

\(^99\) Nassir Abdulaziz Al-Nasser, President of the General Assembly for RIO +20 Conference in thematic debate on disaster risk reduction: “addressing disaster risk reduction is therefore inseparable from the broader sustainable development agenda.”
• As financial resources continue to decline, the GOSL, like all other governments, will be driven to increasingly give consideration to resilience building. Accounting for disaster losses is a first step towards taking responsibility for, and assessing disaster risk for successful resilience building. Adapting existing development instruments such as national public investment planning, protecting vulnerable and critical infrastructure, promoting sustainable land use planning and reforestation, ensuring security of water supply and water resources, and collecting and analyzing climate change and disaster related data and information in a comprehensive and sustainable fashion can help to scale up disaster risk management efforts to reach the many risk-prone citizens. Such strategies reduce disaster risk and strive towards the objectives of the HFA, and are also important for adapting to climate change and achieving the Millennium Development Goals.”

• The implementation of DRR therefore calls for a coordinated, broad-based, multi-sectoral response aimed at mainstreaming DRR issues into the planning and development process. The perception of, and response to, these existential threats must pervade the national planning process, the operations of government agencies, the practices of the commercial/private sector and the actions of all citizens, from where and how they choose to build their homes, to how they transfer risks.

• It is clear that a re-shaping of the policy mix to reflect the changing climate and disaster context is needed to provide a strategic framework for action that will promote environmentally sound development, through the resourcing and empowering of local/community level institutions for building the resilience of citizens and the state.

• The CP-DRR has endeavoured to provide recommendations on the type of DRR construct that is results oriented and strategic in terms of its impact. It is hoped, therefore, that the Document has provided a basis for identifying potential areas to enhance the capacity of the requisite institutions, agencies, communities, groups, etc. to effect a more coordinated response to DRR implementation for the realisation of sustainable disaster resilient development.

• It is further anticipated that the beneficiaries will utilise the observations made regarding the key performance drivers, in particular policy environment, the organizational framework and institutional and individual capacities, gaps and constraints to pursue real capacity enhancement and improve practices and skills in a manner to ensure that the desired improvements in DRR implementation can be achieved.

• The singular risk is that these outputs may not be effectively applied by the beneficiaries and potentially lose validity, unless the requisite efforts are made to fully integrated them into a functional framework for addressing current gaps and constraints. Additional sensitisation training may well be required to enhance stakeholder awareness and education with regard to the benefits of a DRR approach that would further enhance the application of outputs.

• The Government of Saint Lucia, and in particular all agencies responsible for national development, must therefore, be committed, in conjunction with development partners, to assume their relevant roles and work towards capacity enhancement, to ensure a well constructed framework, integrating elements of policy, institutional structures and processes.
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and organizational and individual capacities, to effect a more coordinated response to DRR implementation for the realisation of sustainable disaster resilient development.

- Requisite and concrete resources must be committed and reflected within the National Budget to create the right environment for the implementation of DRR activities, including adequate human and technical resources, financial resources for investments in DRR.

11. ANNEXES

The Appendices are provided in the Annex to this document, which is presented under separate cover.
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